arXiv:1009.0676v1 [math.AG] 3 Sep 2010

QUANTIZATION OF DRINFELD ZASTAVA

MICHAEL FINKELBERG AND LEONID RYBNIKOV

Abstract. Drinfeld Zastava is a certain closure of the moduli space of maps from the projective line to the Kashiwara flag scheme of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}_n$. We introduce an affine, reduced, irreducible, normal quiver variety Z which maps to the Zastava space bijectively at the level of complex points. The natural Poisson structure on the Zastava space can be described on Z in terms of Hamiltonian reduction of a certain Poisson subvariety of the dual space of a (nonsemisimple) Lie algebra. The quantum Hamiltonian reduction of the corresponding quotient of its universal enveloping algebra produces a quantization Y of the coordinate ring of Z. The same quantization was obtained in the finite (as opposed to the affine) case generically in [13]. We prove that, for generic values of quantization parameters, Y is a quotient of the affine Borel Yangian.

1. Introduction

1.1. The moduli space $\mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}}$ of degree $\underline{d}=(d_0,d_1,\ldots,d_{n-1})\in\mathbb{N}^n$ based maps from the projective line to the Kashiwara flag scheme of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ admits two natural closures: an affine singular *Drinfeld Zastava* space $Z^{\underline{d}}$, and a quasiprojective smooth *affine Laumon* space $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ (see [10]). The advantage of $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ lies in its smoothness (in fact, the natural proper morphism $\varpi:\ \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}\to Z^{\underline{d}}$ is a semismall resolution of singularities), while the advantage of $Z^{\underline{d}}$ lies in the fact that it makes sense for other simple and affine groups.

The affine Laumon space $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ is the moduli space of torsion free parabolic sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$, and thus carries a natural Poisson structure. This structure descends to the Drinfeld Zastava space $Z^{\underline{d}}$. We have a natural problem to quantize this Poisson structure. The main goal of our note is a solution of this problem. It was already solved generically (on an open subvariety of $\mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}}$) in the *finite*, i.e. $d_0=0$ (as opposed to the *affine*) case in [13].

To this end we use a quiver construction of $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. This construction follows from an observation by A. Okounkov that $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ is a fixed point set component of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acting on the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{n,d}$ of torsion free sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ framed at infinity. The quiver in question (a *chainsaw* quiver) is similar to but different from the $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ quivers in Nakajima theory. In particular, the corresponding quiver variety is *not* obtained by the Hamiltonian reduction of a symplectic vector space. It is obtained by the Hamiltonian reduction of a Poisson subvariety of the dual vector space of a (nonsemisimple) Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}$ with its Lie-Kirillov-Kostant bracket. The corresponding categorical (as opposed to GIT) quotient $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is reduced, irreducible, normal, and admits a morphism to the Zastava space $Z^{\underline{d}}$ which is bijective at the level of $\mathbb{C}$-points. We conjecture that this morphism is an isomorphism.

A historical comment is in order. The quiver approach to Laumon moduli spaces goes back to S. A. Strømme [19]; we have learnt of it from A. Marian. For a more recent construction of the monopole moduli space $\mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}}$ in the finite (as opposed to the affine) case via Hamiltonian reduction see [7]. In fact, the authors of *loc. cit.* restrict themselves to a single open coadjoint orbit in the Poisson subvariety of the previous paragraph.

1.2. Now the ring of functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ admits a natural quantization $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ as the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of a quotient algebra of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$. The algebra $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ admits a homomorphism from the Borel subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}$ of the Yangian of type A_{n-1} in the case of finite Zastava space. We prove that this homomorphism is surjective. In the affine situation, there is a 1-parametric deformation of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ analogous to the Calogero–Moser deformation of the Hilbert scheme. This leads to the the 1-parametric family of quantum Zastava spaces, $\mathcal{Y}^{\mu}_{\underline{d}}$. There is also an affine analog of $\mathcal{Y}$ depending on the complex parameter β (we denote it $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}_\beta}$) in the same way as in [14]. There is a homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}_\beta} \to \mathcal{Y}^{\mu}_{\underline{d}}$ with $\beta = \mu + \sum\limits_{l=1}^{n} d_l$. We prove that this homomorphism is surjective for $\mu \neq 0$. Moreover, we write down certain elements in the kernel of this homomorphism and conjecture that they generate the kernel (as a two-sided ideal). These elements are similar to the generators of the kernel of the surjective Brundan-Kleshchev homomorphism from their *shifted Yangian* to a finite W-algebra of type A. In fact, it seems likely that $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ as a filtered algebra is the limit of a sequence of finite W-algebras of type A equipped with the Kazhdan filtration.

Moreover, the similar quotients of the Borel Yangians for arbitrary simple and affine Lie groups are likely to quantize the rings of functions on the corresponding Drinfeld Zastava spaces.

1.3. Our motivation for quantization of Drinfeld Zastava came from the following source. In [9] we formulated a conjecture about equivariant quantum cohomology of the finite Laumon spaces (it was proved recently by A. Negut). The corresponding *quantum connection* identifies with the *Casimir* connection, and its monodromy gives rise to an action of the pure braid group on the equivariant cohomology of $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. According to the Bridgeland-Bezrukavnikov-Okounkov philosophy, if we transfer this action to the equivariant K-theory via Chern character, then it should come from an action of the pure braid group on the equivariant derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$.

In the classical case of Nakajima quiver varieties, there are *chambers* in the space of stability conditions for the GIT construction of quiver varieties, and the derived coherent categories for the varieties in adjacent chambers are related by Kawamata-type derived equivalences. These equivalences generate the action of the pure braid group on the derived category of a single quiver variety. Unfortunately, this approach fails in our situation (see sections 5.1–5.4): although we do have chambers in the space of stability conditions, the Laumon varieties in the adjacent chambers too often become singular and just isomorphic (as opposed to birational).

Another approach was discovered by Bezrukavnikov-Mirković in their works on localization of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules in characteristic p. In our situation it works as follows: if we replace the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers by an algebraic closure K of a finite field of characteristic $p \gg 0$, then the quantized algebra $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ acquires a big center, isomorphic to $\mathsf{K}[\mathfrak{Z}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}]$ (Frobenius twist of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$). Thus $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ may be viewed as global sections of a sheaf of noncommutative algebras on $\mathfrak{Z}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}$. A slight upgrade of our quantization construction produces a sheaf $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ of noncommutative algebras on $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}$ for every stability condition χ. In sections 5.5–5.8 we formulate "standard conjectures" about the sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{A}_\chi$. We conjecture that they are all Morita equivalent, and their global sections are isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$. Moreover, the functor of global sections from the category of $\mathcal{A}_\chi$-modules to the category of $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$-modules is a derived equivalence for χ in certain chambers. Thus, for χ in such a chamber (e.g. $\chi = 0$), the composition of this derived equivalence with the above Morita equivalences gives rise to an action of the pure braid group on $D^b(\mathcal{A}_\chi\text{-mod})$.

Contrary to the Bezrukavnikov-Mirković situation, in our case $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ is *not* a sheaf of Azumaya algebras (e.g. in the simplest case $n = 2$, $\underline{d} = (0, 1)$, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \simeq \mathbb{A}^2$, and $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$). However, in the formal neighbourhood of the central fiber of $\varpi^{(1)} : \mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}} \to \mathfrak{Z}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ possesses a *splitting* module $\widehat{M}$. Tensoring with $\widehat{M}$ defines a functor from the category of equivariant coherent sheaves on this formal neighbourhood to the category of equivariant $\mathcal{A}_\chi$-modules. We conjecture that this functor is a full embedding, and the braid group action of the previous paragraph preserves the essential image of this functor, thus giving rise to the braid group action on the equivariant derived category of coherent sheaves on the formal neighbourhood of the central fiber.

1.4. **Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to R. Bezrukavnikov, A. Braverman, B. Feigin, V. Ginzburg, A. Molev and V. Vologodsky for useful discussions. During the key stage of the preparation of this paper we have benefited from the hospitality and support of the University of Sydney. Thanks are due to A. Tsymbaliuk for the careful reading of the first draft of this note and spotting several mistakes. Both authors were partially supported by the RFBR grant 09-01-00242 and the Science Foundation of the SU-HSE awards No.T3-62.0 and 10-09-0015. L. R. was also partially supported by the RFBR-CNRS grant 10-01-93111 and the Russian President's grant MK-281.2009.1.

2. A quiver approach to Drinfeld and Laumon spaces

2.1. **Parabolic sheaves.** We recall the setup of Section 3 of [10]. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a smooth projective curve of genus zero. We fix a coordinate z on $\mathbf{C}$, and consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbf{C}$ such that $a(t) = a^{-1} \cdot t$. We have $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \{0_\mathbf{C}, \infty_\mathbf{C}\}$. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be another smooth projective curve of genus zero. We fix a coordinate y on $\mathbf{X}$, and consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbf{X}$ such that $c(x) = c^{-1} \cdot x$. We have $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \{0_\mathbf{X}, \infty_\mathbf{X}\}$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ denote the product surface $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$. Let $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ denote the divisor $\mathbf{C} \times \infty_\mathbf{X} \cup \infty_\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$. Let $\mathbf{D}_0$ denote the divisor $\mathbf{C} \times 0_\mathbf{X}$.

Given an n-tuple of nonnegative integers $\underline{d} = (d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1})$, we say that a *parabolic sheaf* $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ of degree $\underline{d}$ is an infinite flag of torsion free coherent sheaves of rank n on $\mathbf{S}$: $\ldots \subset \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \ldots$ such that:

(a) $\mathcal{F}_{k+n} = \mathcal{F}_k(\mathbf{D}_0)$ for any k;

(b) $ch_1(\mathcal{F}_k) = k[\mathbf{D}_0]$ for any k: the first Chern classes are proportional to the fundamental class of $\mathbf{D}_0$;

(c) $ch_2(\mathcal{F}_k) = d_i$ for $i \equiv k \pmod{n}$;

(d) $\mathcal{F}_0$ is locally free at $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ and trivialized at $\mathbf{D}_\infty$: $\mathcal{F}_0|_{\mathbf{D}_\infty} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{D}_\infty}$;

(e) For $-n \le k \le 0$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ is locally free at $\mathbf{D}_\infty$, and the quotient sheaves $\mathcal{F}_k/\mathcal{F}_{-n}$, $\mathcal{F}_0/\mathcal{F}_k$ (both supported at $\mathbf{D}_0 = \mathbf{C} \times 0_\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{S}$) are both locally free at the point $\infty_\mathbf{C} \times 0_\mathbf{X}$; moreover, the local sections of $\mathcal{F}_k|_{\infty_\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}}$ are those sections of $\mathcal{F}_0|_{\infty_\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}$ which take value in $\langle w_1, \ldots, w_{n+k} \rangle \subset W$ at $0_\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{X}$.

The fine moduli space $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ of degree $\underline{d}$ parabolic sheaves exists and is a smooth connected quasiprojective variety of dimension $2d_0 + \ldots + 2d_{n-1}$.

2.2. **Parabolic sheaves as orbifold sheaves.** We will now introduce a different realization of parabolic sheaves. We first learned of this construction from A. Okounkov, though it is already present in the work of I. Biswas [1], and goes back to M. Narasimhan. Let $\sigma : \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$ denote the map $\sigma(z, y) = (z, y^n)$, and let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then Γ acts on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$ by multiplying the coordinate on $\mathbf{X}$ with the $n-$th roots of unity. More precisely, we choose a generator γ of Γ which multiplies y by $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$. We introduce a decreasing filtration $W = W^1 = \langle w_1, \ldots, w_n \rangle \supset W^2 = \langle w_2, \ldots, w_n \rangle \supset \ldots \supset W^n = \langle w_n \rangle$.

A parabolic sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is completely determined by the flag of sheaves

$$\mathcal{F}_0(-\mathbf{D}_0) \subset \mathcal{F}_{-n+1} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{F}_0,$$

satisfying conditions 2.1.(a–e). For $-n < k \leq 0$ we consider a subsheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k \subset \sigma^*\mathcal{F}_k$ defined as follows. Away from the line $\mathbf{C} \times \infty_{\mathbf{X}}$ the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$ coincides with $\sigma^*\mathcal{F}_k$; and the local sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k|_{\mathbf{C}\times\infty_{\mathbf{X}}}$ are those sections of $\sigma^*\mathcal{F}_k|_{\mathbf{C}\times\infty_{\mathbf{X}}} = W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}\times\infty_{\mathbf{X}}}$ which take value in W^{k+n}.

To $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ we can associate a single Γ-equivariant torsion free sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-n+1} + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{-n+2}(\mathbf{C} \times \infty_{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{C} \times 0_{\mathbf{X}}) + ... + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0((n-1)(\mathbf{C} \times \infty_{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{C} \times 0_{\mathbf{X}})).$$

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathbf{C}\times\infty_{\mathbf{X}}} \equiv W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}\times\infty_{\mathbf{X}}}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\infty_{\mathbf{C}}\times\mathbf{X}}$ is a trivial vector bundle, hence its trivialization on $\mathbf{C} \times \infty_{\mathbf{X}}$ canonically extends to a trivialization on $\mathbf{D}_\infty$.

The sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ will have to satisfy certain numeric and framing conditions that mimick conditions 2.1.(b–e). Conversely, any Γ-equivariant sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ that satisfies those numeric and framing conditions will determine a unique parabolic sheaf. More precisely, for $d = d_0 + \ldots + d_{n-1}$, let $\mathfrak{M}_{n,d}$ be the Giesecker moduli space of torsion free sheaves on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$ of rank n and second Chern class d, trivialized on $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ (see [16], section 2). Then we have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathfrak{M}_{n,d}$. We consider the following action of Γ on $W: \ \gamma(w_l) = \exp(\frac{2\pi i l}{n})w_l, \ l = 1, \ldots, n$. The action of Γ on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{X}$ together with its action on the trivialization at $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ (via the action on W) gives rise to the action of Γ on $\mathfrak{M}_{n,d}$. We have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathfrak{M}^\Gamma_{n,d}$. Thus we have constructed an embedding $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\Gamma_{n,d}, \ \mathcal{F}_\bullet \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. The fixed point set $\mathfrak{M}^\Gamma_{n,d}$ has many connected components numbered by decompositions $d = d_0 + d_1 + \ldots + d_{n-1}$, and the embedding $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\Gamma_{n,d}$ is an isomorphism onto the connected component $\mathfrak{M}^\Gamma_{n,\underline{d}}$.

The inverse isomorphism takes a Γ-equivariant torsion free sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ to the flag $\mathcal{F}_0(-\mathbf{D}_0) \subset \mathcal{F}_{-n+1} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ where for $-n < k \leq 0$ we set $\mathcal{F}_k := \sigma_*\left(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{S}}(k\mathbf{D}_0)\right)^\Gamma$.

2.3. **A quiver description of Laumon space.** According to section 2 of [16], $\mathfrak{M}_{n,d}$ admits the following GIT description. We set $V = \mathbb{C}^d$, and we consider $M = \operatorname{End}(V) \oplus \operatorname{End}(V) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(W, V) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$. A typical quadruple in M will be denoted by (A, B, p, q). We set $L \supset \mu^{-1}(0) := \{(A, B, p, q) : \ AB - BA + pq = 0\}$. We define $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ as the open subset of stable quadruples, i.e. those which do not admit proper subspaces $V' \subset V$ stable under A, B and containing $p(W)$. The group $GL(V)$ acts naturally on M preserving $\mu^{-1}(0)$; its action on $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ is free, and $\mathfrak{M}_{n,d}$ is the GIT quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)^s/GL(V)$.

In terms of this quiver realization, the action of Γ is described as follows: $\gamma(A, B, p, q) = (A, \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})B, \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})p, q)$. Recall that the action of Γ on W was desribed in 2.2: for $l = 1, \ldots, n, \ W_l = \langle w_l \rangle$ is the isotypic component corresponding to the character $\chi_l(\gamma) = \exp(\frac{2\pi i l}{n})$. Hence the connected component of the fixed point set $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \simeq \mathfrak{M}^\Gamma_{n,\underline{d}}$ admits the following quiver description.

We choose an action of Γ on V such that the χ_l-isotypic component V_l has dimension d_l ($l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$). Then $M^\Gamma_{\underline{d}} = \{(A_l, B_l, p_l, q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\} =$

$$\bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{End}(V_l) \oplus \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}(V_l, V_{l+1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}(W_{l-1}, V_l) \oplus \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}(V_l, W_l):$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "B_{-3}"] & V_{-2} \arrow[loop above, "A_{-2}"] \arrow[r, "B_{-2}"] \arrow[d, "q_{-2}"] & V_{-1} \arrow[loop above, "A_{-1}"] \arrow[r, "B_{-1}"] \arrow[d, "q_{-1}"] & V_0 \arrow[loop above, "A_0"] \arrow[r, "B_0"] \arrow[d, "q_0"] & V_1 \arrow[loop above, "A_1"] \arrow[r, "B_1"] \arrow[d, "q_1"] & V_2 \arrow[loop above, "A_2"] \arrow[r, "B_2"] \arrow[d, "q_2"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[ur, "p_{-2}"] & W_{-2} \arrow[ur, "p_{-1}"] & W_{-1} \arrow[ur, "p_0"] & W_0 \arrow[ur, "p_1"] & W_1 \arrow[ur, "p_2"] & W_2 \arrow[ur, "p_3"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

(the *chainsaw quiver*).

Furthermore, $\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}} = \{(A_l, B_l, p_l, q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} :\ A_{l+1}B_l - B_lA_l + p_{l+1}q_l = 0\ \forall l\}$. Moreover, $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}} = \{(A_l, B_l, p_l, q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \in \mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$: there is no proper $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-graded subspace $V'_\bullet \subset V_\bullet$ stable under $A_\bullet, B_\bullet$ and containing $p(W_\bullet)\}$.

Finally, the group $\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l)$ acts naturally on $M^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$ preserving $\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$; its action on $\mu^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}}$ is free, and $\mathfrak{M}_{n,\underline{d}} = \mu^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}} / \prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l)$.

Remark 2.4. If a point $\mathcal{F}_\bullet \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{n,\underline{d}}$ has a representative $(A_l, B_l, p_l, q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$, then $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{n,d_0}$ has a representative (A', B', p', q') defined as follows. First of all, $W' = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_{n-1},\ V' = V_0$. Now $A' = A_0,\ B' = B_{n-1}B_{n-2}\ldots B_1B_0,\ p' = \oplus_{0\le l\le n-1} B_{n-1}B_{n-2}\ldots B_lp_l,\ q' = \oplus_{0\le l\le n-1} q_lB_{l-1}\ldots B_1B_0$.

Remark 2.5. A. Negut has introduced in [18] the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}'_{\underline{d}}$ closely related to Laumon moduli spaces. Namely, $\mathcal{M}'_{\underline{d}}$ is defined as the moduli space of flags of locally free sheaves $0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{F}_k = k,\ k = 1, \ldots, n;\ \deg\mathcal{F}_k = d_k$, and at $\infty_{\mathbf{C}}$ our flag consists of vector subbundles, and takes value $\langle w_1\rangle \subset \langle w_1, w_2\rangle \subset \ldots \langle w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}\rangle \subset W$.

Let us consider the following *handsaw quiver* Q'

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & V_1 \arrow[loop above, "A_1"] \arrow[r, "B_1"] \arrow[d, "q_1"] & V_2 \arrow[loop above, "A_2"] \arrow[r, "B_2"] \arrow[d, "q_2"] & \cdots & \cdots \arrow[r, "B_{n-3}"] & V_{n-2} \arrow[loop above, "A_{n-2}"] \arrow[r, "B_{n-2}"] \arrow[d, "q_{n-2}"] & V_{n-1} \arrow[loop above, "A_{n-1}"] \arrow[r, "B_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "q_{n-1}"] & V_n \arrow[loop above, "A_n"] \\
W_0 \arrow[ur, "p_1"] & W_1 \arrow[ur, "p_2"] & W_2 \arrow[ur, "p_3"] & \cdots & \cdots \arrow[ur, "p_{n-2}"] & W_{n-2} \arrow[ur, "p_{n-1}"] & W_{n-1} \arrow[ur, "p_n"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with relations $A_{k+1}B_k - B_kA_k + p_{k+1}q_k = 0,\ k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Let $\mathsf{M}'_{\underline{d}}$ stand for the moduli scheme of representations of Q' (quiver with relations) such that $\dim W_0 = \ldots = \dim W_{n-1} = 1,\ \dim V_k = d_k,\ k = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $\mathsf{M}^{s\prime}_{\underline{d}}$ stand for the open subscheme of stable representations of Q' formed by all the quadruples $(A_\bullet, B_\bullet, p_\bullet, q_\bullet)$ such that there is no proper graded subspace $V'_\bullet \subset V_\bullet$ stable under $A_\bullet, B_\bullet$ and containing $p_\bullet(W_\bullet)$. Let $G_{\underline{d}}$ stand for the group $\prod_{k=1}^n GL(V_k)$ acting on $\mathsf{M}'_{\underline{d}}$ naturally. Then the action of $G_{\underline{d}}$ on $\mathsf{M}^{s\prime}_{\underline{d}}$ is free, and the argument of Sections 2.2 and 2.3 proves that the quotient $\mathsf{M}^{s\prime}_{\underline{d}}/G_{\underline{d}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}'_{\underline{d}}$.

2.6. **A quiver approach to Drinfeld Zastava.** We define $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ as the categorical quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}} // \prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l)$, that is the spectrum of the ring of $\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l)$-invariants in $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}]$.

Let $\chi = \chi_{-1,\ldots,-1}$ stand for the character $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto \det(g_1)\ldots\det(g_n) : \prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l) \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Let us denote $\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l)$ by $G_{\underline{d}}$ for short.

Let $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}]^{G_{\underline{d}},\chi^r}$ stand for the χ^r-isotypical component of $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}]$ under the action of $G_{\underline{d}}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{n,\underline{d}} = \mu^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}}/G_{\underline{d}} = \operatorname{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{r\ge 0} \mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}]^{G_{\underline{d}},\chi^r}\right)$. We have a projective morphism $\pi :\ \mathfrak{M}_{n,\underline{d}} \to \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$.

Let $Z^{\underline{d}}$ stand for the Drinfeld Zastava space defined (under the name of $\mathfrak{M}^\alpha$) in section 4 of [10] and (for an arbitrary almost simple simply connected group G in place of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ here) in [5]. Let $\varpi :\ \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \to Z^{\underline{d}}$ be the morphism (semismall resolution of singularities) introduced in section 5 of [10]. Our next goal is to prove the following

Theorem 2.7. *a) $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is a reduced irreducible normal scheme.*

b) The morphism $\varpi :\ \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \to Z^{\underline{d}}$ factors as $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}} \xrightarrow{\eta} Z^{\underline{d}}$, and η induces a bijection between the sets of $\mathbb{C}$-points.

The proof occupies the rest of this section.

2.8. **Examples.** We consider three basic examples of Zastava spaces for the groups $\mathrm{SL}(2), \mathrm{SL}(3), \widehat{\mathrm{SL}}(2)$.

2.8.1. SL(2). We take $n \geq 2,\ d_2 = d_3 = \ldots = d_{n-1} = d_0 = 0,\ d_1 = d$. We have $V_1 = V = \mathbb{C}^d,\ A_1 = A \in \mathrm{End}(V),\ B_1 = 0,\ p_1 = p \in V,\ q_1 = q \in V^*,\ G_{\underline{d}} = GL(V)$. Thus $\mu^{-1}(0) = \mathrm{End}(V) \oplus V \oplus V^*$, and $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}} = (\mathrm{End}(V) \oplus V \oplus V^*)//GL(V)$. By the classical Invariant Theory, the ring of $GL(V)$-invariant functions on $\mathrm{End}(V) \oplus V \oplus V^*$ is freely generated by the functions $a_1, \ldots, a_d, b_0, \ldots, b_{d-1}$ where $a_m := \mathrm{Tr}(A^m)$, and $b_m := q \circ A^m \circ p$. Hence $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{2d}$.

2.8.2. SL(3). We take $n \geq 3,\ d_3 = d_4 = \ldots = d_{n-1} = d_0 = 0,\ d_1 = d_2 = 1$. We have $V_1 = \mathbb{C} = V_2$, and hence all our linear operators act between one-dimensional vector spaces, and can be written just as numbers. We have nonzero numbers $A_1, A_2, B_1, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$, and $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is given by the single equation $B_1(A_2 - A_1) + p_2q_1 = 0$. The group $G_{\underline{d}}$ is just $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with coordinates c_1, c_2. It acts on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ as follows: $(c_1, c_2) \cdot (A_1, A_2, B_1, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) = (A_1, A_2, c_1c_2^{-1}B_1, c_1^{-1}p_1, c_2^{-1}p_2, c_1q_1, c_2q_2)$. The ring of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-invariant functions on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is generated by the functions $b_{1,0} := q_1p_1,\ b_{2,0} := q_2p_2,\ r := q_2B_1p_1,\ A_1,\ A_2$ with a single relation $b_{1,0}b_{2,0} + r(A_2 - A_1) = 0$. Thus, $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is the product of the conifold with the affine line.

2.8.3. $\widehat{\mathrm{SL}}(2)$. We take $n = 2,\ d_0 = d_1 = 1$. We have $V_1 = \mathbb{C} = V_2$, and hence all our linear operators act between one-dimensional vector spaces, and can be written just as numbers. We have nonzero numbers $A_1, A_0, B_1, B_0, p_1, p_0, q_1, q_0$, and $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is cut out by two equations $B_1(A_0 - A_1) + p_0q_1 = 0 = B_0(A_1 - A_0) + p_1q_0$. The group $G_{\underline{d}}$ is just $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with coordinates c_1, c_0. It acts on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ as follows: $(c_1, c_0) \cdot (A_1, A_0, B_1, B_0, p_1, p_0, q_1, q_0) = (A_1, A_0, c_1c_0^{-1}B_1, c_0c_1^{-1}B_0, c_1^{-1}p_1, c_0^{-1}p_0, c_1q_1, c_0q_0)$. The ring of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-invariant functions on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is generated by the functions $b_{1,0} := q_1p_1,\ b_{0,0} := q_0p_0,\ s := B_0B_1,\ A_1,\ A_0$ with a single relation $b_{1,0}b_{2,0} - s(A_2 - A_1)^2 = 0$.

2.9. **Stratification of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$.** Applying the famous Crawley-Boevey's trick we may identify all the one-dimensional spaces W_l, and denote the resulting line by W_∞. Thus, W_∞ becomes the source of all p_l, and the target of all $q_l,\ l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & V_0 \arrow[loop above, "A_0"] \arrow[dr, bend left, "B_0"] \arrow[d, shift right, "q_0"'] & \\
V_{-1} \arrow[loop left, "A_{-1}"] \arrow[ur, bend left, "B_{-1}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "q_{-1}"'] & W_\infty \arrow[u, shift right, "p_0"'] \arrow[l, shift right, "p_{-1}"'] \arrow[r, shift right, "p_1"'] & V_1 \arrow[loop right, "A_1"] \arrow[l, shift right, "q_1"'] \arrow[dl, bend left, "B_1"] \\
 & \cdots \arrow[ul, bend left, "B_{-2}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The $\mathbb{C}$-points of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ classify the semisimple representations of the resulting *Ferris wheel quiver* with relations $\mu = 0$, to be denoted by Q. More precisely, the $\mathbb{C}$-points of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ classify the semisimple Q-modules of dimension $\underline{\dim} = ((\dim(V_l)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}, \dim(W_\infty)) :\ \dim(W_\infty) = 1,\ \dim(V_l) = d_l$.

We start with the classification of simple Q-modules of dimension smaller than or equal to $\underline{\dim}$. First suppose $\dim(W_\infty) = 0$. Then an irreducible module is either $L_l(x)$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ x \in \mathbb{C}$, or $L(x, y)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C},\ y \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Here $L_l(x)$ denotes the Q-module with $V_k = 0$ for $k \neq l$, and $V_l = \mathbb{C},\ A_l = x$. Furthermore, $L(x, y)$ denotes the Q-module with $V_l = \mathbb{C},\ A_l = x\ \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} B_l = y$.

Now suppose $\dim(W_\infty)=1$. Then the irreducibility condition is equivalent to the conjunction of stability condition of 2.3 and of costability: there is no proper $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-graded subspace $V'_\bullet \subset V_\bullet$ stable under $A_\bullet, B_\bullet$ and contained in $\mathrm{Ker}(q_\bullet)$. We will denote the open subset of stable and costable Q-modules of dimension $(1,\underline{d}') \leq (1,\underline{d})$ by $\mu^{-1}(0)^{sc,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}'}$. According to Chapter 2 of [16], the open subset $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}'} \supset \mu^{-1}(0)^{sc,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}'}/G_{\underline{d}'} \subset \mu^{-1}(0)^{s,\Gamma}_{\underline{d}'}/G_{\underline{d}'} = \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}'}$ coincides with the moduli space of *locally free* parabolic sheaves, to be denoted by $\mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}'}$. Thus, the isomorphism classes of irreducible Q-modules of dimension $(1,\underline{d}')$ are parametrized by $\mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}'}$.

We conclude that the set of $\mathbb{C}$-points of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is a disjoint union of the following strata. We fix an n-tuple $\underline{d}' \leq \underline{d}$, a collection of positive integers $m_1,\ldots,m_r$, and also collections of positive integers $(m_{l1},\ldots,m_{l,r_l})_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for any l we have $d_l = d'_l + \sum_{i=1}^r m_i + \sum_{j=1}^{r_l} m_{lj}$. Then the corresponding stratum is formed by the isomorphism classes of semisimple Q-modules of type $R \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L(x_i,y_i)^{\oplus m_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_l} L_l(x_j)^{\oplus m_{lj}}$ where $R \in \mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}'}$, and all the pairs $(x_i,y_i)_{i=1,\ldots,m_r}$ are distinct, and for any l all the points $x_j,\ j=1,\ldots,m_{l,r_l}$, are distinct.

2.10. **Dimension of $\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$.** We consider the configuration space of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-colored points $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}} := (\mathbf{C}-\infty_{\mathbf{C}})^{(d_0)} \times \ldots \times (\mathbf{C}-\infty_{\mathbf{C}})^{(d_{n-1})}$. We denote $\mu^{-1}(0)^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$ by $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$ for short. We have a morphism $\Upsilon:\ \mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}} \to \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}}$ sending a quadruple $(A_\bullet,B_\bullet,p_\bullet,q_\bullet)$ to $(\mathrm{Spec}\, A_0,\ldots,\mathrm{Spec}\, A_{n-1})$.

Proposition 2.11. *Every fiber of* Υ *has dimension* $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2+d_l)$.

Proof. First we assume that $\dim(\Upsilon^{-1}(D)) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2+d_l)$ for a colored divisor D concentrated at one point (with colored multiplicity). We will derive the general case of the proposition from this particular case by induction in $\underline{d}$. To this end, if a divisor D is a disjoint union of divisors $D^{(1)}$ and $D^{(2)}$ of degrees $\underline{d}^{(1)}$ and $\underline{d}^{(2)}$, and we know $\dim(\Upsilon^{-1}_{\underline{d}^{(1)}}(D^{(1)})) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}((d_l^{(1)})^2+d_l^{(1)})$, $\dim(\Upsilon^{-1}_{\underline{d}^{(2)}}(D^{(2)})) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}((d_l^{(2)})^2+d_l^{(2)})$, we have to derive $\dim(\Upsilon^{-1}(D)) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2+d_l)$.

In effect, each space V_l can be split into direct sum $V_l = V_l^{(1)} \oplus V_l^{(2)}$, so that the endomorphism A_l acquires the block diagonal form $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_l^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_l^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathrm{Spec}\, A_l^{(1,2)} = D_l^{(1,2)}$. Note that the space of such decompositions $V_l = V_l^{(1)} \oplus V_l^{(2)}$ is an open subset in the product of two Grassmannians and has dimension $2d_l^{(1)}d_l^{(2)}$. Now having written the matrices of $(B_\bullet,p_\bullet,q_\bullet)$ in the block form according to our decomposition, the equation $\mu=0$ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{l+1}^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{l+1}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_l^{(11)} & B_l^{(12)} \\ B_l^{(21)} & B_l^{(22)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} B_l^{(11)} & B_l^{(12)} \\ B_l^{(21)} & B_l^{(22)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_l^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & A_l^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p_{l+1}^{(1)} \\ p_{l+1}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_l^{(1)} & q_l^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A_{l+1}^{(1)}B_l^{(11)} - B_l^{(11)}A_l^{(1)} + p_{l+1}^{(1)}q_l^{(1)} & A_{l+1}^{(1)}B_l^{(12)} - B_l^{(12)}A_l^{(2)} + p_{l+1}^{(1)}q_l^{(2)} \\ A_{l+1}^{(2)}B_l^{(21)} - B_l^{(21)}A_l^{(1)} + p_{l+1}^{(2)}q_l^{(1)} & A_{l+1}^{(2)}B_l^{(22)} - B_l^{(22)}A_l^{(2)} + p_{l+1}^{(2)}q_l^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we see that $(A_\bullet^{(1)},B_\bullet^{(11)},p_\bullet^{(1)},q_\bullet^{(1)})$ (resp. $(A_\bullet^{(2)},B_\bullet^{(22)},p_\bullet^{(2)},q_\bullet^{(2)})$) lies in $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}^{(1)}}$ (resp. in $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}^{(2)}}$). So by our induction hypothesis, $\dim\{(A_\bullet^{(1)},B_\bullet^{(11)},p_\bullet^{(1)},q_\bullet^{(1)}) :\ \mathrm{Spec}\, A^{(1)} = D^{(1)}\} = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}((d_l^{(1)})^2+d_l^{(1)})$, and $\dim\{(A_\bullet^{(2)},B_\bullet^{(22)},p_\bullet^{(2)},q_\bullet^{(2)}) :\ \mathrm{Spec}\, A^{(2)} = D^{(2)}\} = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}((d_l^{(2)})^2+d_l^{(2)})$. Recall that we also have $2d_l^{(1)}d_l^{(2)}$ parameters for the choice of decomposition $V_l = V_l^{(1)} \oplus V_l^{(2)}$. That already gives us the desired dimension $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2+d_l)$ altogether, and it only remains to prove that the remaining equations have a unique solution in

$B_l^{(12)}, B_l^{(21)}$. It follows from the fact that, say $A_{l+1}^{(2)}$ and $A_l^{(1)}$ having disjoint spectra, do not admit any nontrivial intertwiners, and hence the linear map $\mathrm{Hom}(V_l^{(1)}, V_{l+1}^{(2)}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(V_l^{(1)}, V_{l+1}^{(2)}) :$ $B_l^{(21)} \mapsto A_{l+1}^{(2)} B_l^{(21)} - B_l^{(21)} A_l^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism.

Since the statement of the proposition is obvious in case $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} d_l = 1$, we have already proved the proposition in case D has no multiplicities (off-diagonal case). Moreover, we have proved that $\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}} - \Delta)$ is smooth.

It remains to prove the proposition in the opposite extremal case when D is supported at one point. It does not matter, which point is it, so we may and will assume it is 0. In other words, we assume that all the endomorphisms A_l are nilpotent. We follow the method of G. Wilson in his proof of Lemma 1.11 of [21]. Suppose first that both A_l and A_{l+1} are regular nilpotent. We choose bases in V_l, V_{l+1} so that the matrices of A_l, A_{l+1} are Jordan blocks, and then we see that the matrix of $A_{l+1}B_l - B_lA_l$ has the following property: for each $i = 1, \dots, \min(d_l, d_{l+1})$ the sum of all elements in the i-th diagonal (counting from the leftmost lowest corner) is 0. Now since $A_{l+1}B_l - B_lA_l = -p_{l+1}q_l$ has rank 1, all these $\min(d_l, d_{l+1})$ diagonals must vanish identically. It imposes the following restriction on the vector p_{l+1} and covector q_l written down in our bases: the sum of numbers of the last nonzero coordinate of p_{l+1} and the first nonzero coordinate of q_l is greater than $\min(d_l, d_{l+1})$. This means that the dimension of the space of all possible collections (p_{l+1}, q_l) is at most $\max(d_l, d_{l+1})$.

Recall that the dimension of the space of regular nilpotent matrices A_l (resp. A_{l+1}) is $d_l^2 - d_l$ (resp. $d_{l+1}^2 - d_{l+1}$). Furthermore, for given $(A_l, A_{l+1}, p_{l+1}, q_l)$ the dimension of the space of solutions of the linear equation $A_{l+1}B_l - B_lA_l = -p_{l+1}q_l$ equals (if it is not empty) the dimension of the space of intertwiners $\mathrm{Int}(A_l, A_{l+1})$, that is $\min(k, l)$. Altogether we obtain at most $d_l^2 + d_{l+1}^2 - d_l - d_{l+1} + \min(d_l, d_{l+1}) + \max(d_l, d_{l+1})$. Summing up over all l we obtain at most $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2 + d_l)$ parameters.

Now we turn to the general case and assume that the Jordan type of a nilpotent matrix A_l is given by a partition $(\varkappa_1^{(l)} \geq \varkappa_2^{(l)} \geq \dots)$. Let $(\kappa_1^{(l)} \geq \kappa_2^{(l)} \geq \dots)$ stand for the dual partition. The space of all matrices A_l of given type has dimension $d_l^2 - (\kappa_1^{(l)})^2 - (\kappa_2^{(l)})^2 - \dots$. We can choose some bases in the spaces V_l so that the matrices of A_l become the direct sums of Jordan blocks, and repeat the considerations of two previous paragraphs blockwise. We come to the conclusion that the dimension of the space of quadruples $(A_\bullet, B_\bullet, p_\bullet, q_\bullet)$ such that the Jordan type of A_l is $(\varkappa_1^{(l)} \geq \varkappa_2^{(l)} \geq \dots)$ is at most $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2 - (\kappa_1^{(l)})^2 - (\kappa_2^{(l)})^2 - \dots) + \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} \min(\varkappa_i^{(l)}, \varkappa_j^{(l+1)}) +$ $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \max(d_l, d_{l+1})$. It is not hard to check (by induction in $\max_l(\kappa_1^{(l)})$) that this sum is at most $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2 + d_l)$. On the other hand, the dimension of any irreducible component of $\Upsilon^{-1}(\underline{d} \cdot 0)$ cannot be less than $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2 + d_l)$ since we have already seen that the generic fiber of Υ has dimension $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2 + d_l)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 2.12. *$\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$ is an irreducible reduced complete intersection in $M_{\underline{d}}^{\Gamma}$.*

Proof. The complete intersection property is clear from the comparison of dimensions. It is also clear that $\dim \Upsilon^{-1}(\Delta) < \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(d_l^2 + 2d_l)$, and hence the closure of $\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}} - \Delta)$ is the unique irreducible component of $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$. Finally, it was shown during the proof of Proposition 2.11 that $\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}} - \Delta)$ is smooth, and in particular, reduced. It follows from Proposition 5.8.5 of [12] that $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$ is reduced. □

Remark 2.13. The subscheme $\Upsilon^{-1}(\underline{d} \cdot 0)$ studied in the proof of Proposition 2.11 contains the nilcone $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$. In the situation and notations of Example 2.8.1 the nilcone $\mathcal{N}_d \subset M_d$ is cut out by the equations $a_1 = \dots = a_d = 0 = b_0 = \dots = b_{d-1}$. Equivalently, we require both endomorphisms A and $A + q \circ p$ to be nilpotent. Hence $\mathcal{N}_d$ coincides with the *mirabolic* *nilpotent cone* introduced by R. Travkin in sections 1.3 and 3.2 of [20] (under the name of Z). The beautiful geometry of $\mathcal{N}_d$ studied in *loc. cit.* suggests that $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{d}}$ might be an interesting object in itself.

2.14. **Proof of Theorem 2.7.a).** The categorical quotient $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ inherits the properties of being reduced and irreducible from $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$. To prove the normality of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ we will use Corollary 7.2 of [8]. To this end we will exhibit a normal open subscheme $U \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ such that its complement $Y \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is of codimension 2, and $\Psi^{-1}(Y)$ is of codimension 2 in $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$. Here $\Psi:\ \mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}} \to \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is the natural projection. Note that $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay (being a complete intersection), in particular, it has property (S_2). So all the conditions of *loc. cit.* will be verified, and it will guarantee the normality of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$.

To construct $U \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ note that the morphism $\Upsilon:\ \mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}} \to \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}}$ evidently factors as $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}}$ for a uniquely defined morphism Φ. We introduce an open subset $\hat{U} \subset \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}}$ formed by all the colored configurations where at most 2 points collide. We set $U := \Phi^{-1}(\hat{U})$.

Evidently, the complement $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}} - \hat{U}$ is of codimension 2 in $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}}$, and so the codimension conditions on U are satisfied. It remains to prove that U is normal. The argument of the first part of the proof of Proposition 2.11 shows that after an étale base change in a formal neighbourhood of a point in $\hat{U}$ (an ordering of distinct points in a configuration in $\hat{U}$), both $\Upsilon^{-1}(\hat{U})$ and $\Phi^{-1}(\hat{U}) = U$ decompose into a direct product of a smooth scheme, and a scheme of one of Examples 2.8.1, 2.8.2, 2.8.3. Namely, Example 2.8.1 occurs if two points of the same color collide; Example 2.8.2 occurs if two points of different colors collide, and $n > 2$; finally, Example 2.8.3 occurs if two points of different colors collide, and $n = 2$. Obviously, all the schemes of the above Examples are normal. As normality is stable under the étale base change and the formal completion, the proof of Theorem 2.7.a) is complete. $\Box$

2.15. **Proof of Theorem 2.7.b).** To prove b), we recall the stratification of $Z^{\underline{d}}$ introduced in section 6.6 of [10]. It obviously coincides with the stratification of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ introduced in 2.9. In particular, we have a bijection between the sets of $\mathbb{C}$-points of $Z^{\underline{d}}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$. Moreover, for a $\mathbb{C}$-point s in a stratum of $Z^{\underline{d}}$, and the same named corresponding point in the corresponding stratum of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$, the (reduced) fibers $\pi^{-1}(s) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}} \supset \varpi^{-1}(s)$ coincide. In effect, they are both formed by all the parabolic sheaves with given saturation and defect in terminology of *loc. cit.* Now the existence of η follows from normality of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ e.g. by the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.14 of [4]. Theorem 2.7 is proved. $\Box$

Conjecture 2.16. *The morphism* $\eta:\ \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}} \to Z^{\underline{d}}$ *is an isomorphism.*

2.17. **The character of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$.** Corollary 2.12 gives rise to a formula for the character of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$. Let T stand for the Cartan torus of $GL(W)$ which acts on the basis vector w_k via the character $\mathbf{t}_k,\ k = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus $\mathbf{T} := \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times T$ acts on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ via the action of the first (resp. second) copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbf{C}$ (resp. on $\mathbf{X}$) via the character $\mathbf{v}$ (resp. $\mathbf{u}$), see 2.1. The relation to the notations of [3] is as follows: $\mathbf{t}_k = t_k^2,\ \mathbf{v} = v^2,\ \mathbf{u} = u^2$. Now the character of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ as a $\mathbf{T}$-module is a formal power series in $\mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_n, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ which is actually a Laurent expansion of a rational function to be denoted by $F_{\underline{d}}$.

To calculate $F_{\underline{d}}$ we note that the action of $\mathbf{T}$ on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ arises from the following action of $\mathbf{T}$ on the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{\underline{d}}^{\Gamma}]$. Let us choose a base $v_{l,1}, \ldots, v_{l,d_l}$ in V_l, and denote the corresponding matrix elements of A_l (resp. B_l, p_l, q_l) by $(A_l^{(ij)})_{1\leq i\leq d_l}^{1\leq j\leq d_l}$ (resp. $(B_l^{(ij)})_{1\leq i\leq d_l}^{1\leq j\leq d_{l+1}}$, $(p_l^{(i)})_{1\leq i\leq d_l}$, $(q_l^{(i)})_{1\leq i\leq d_l}$). Moreover, let us denote by T the Cartan torus of $G_{\underline{d}}$ acting on a base vector $v_{l,i}$ via the character $\mathsf{t}_{l,i}$.

Then the eigenvalues of the $\mathbf{T}\times\mathsf{T}$-action on the generators of $\mathbb{C}[M_{\underline{d}}^\Gamma]$ are as follows: $A_l^{(ij)}:\ \mathbf{v}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}\mathsf{t}_{l,j}^{-1}$, $\quad B_l^{(ij)}:\ \mathbf{u}^{\delta_{0,l}}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}\mathsf{t}_{l+1,j}^{-1}$, $\quad p_l^{(i)}:\ \mathbf{u}^{\delta_{1,l}}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{t}_{l-1}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}^{-1}$, $\quad q_l^{(i)}:\ \mathbf{t}_l^{-1}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}$.

The character of the $\mathbf{T}\times\mathsf{T}$-action on the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{\underline{d}}^\Gamma]$ equals $S_{\underline{d}}:=$

$$\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{1\le i,j\le d_l}(1-\mathbf{v}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}\mathsf{t}_{l,j}^{-1})^{-1}\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{\substack{1\le i\le d_l\\ 1\le j\le d_{l+1}}}(1-\mathbf{u}^{\delta_{0,l}}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}\mathsf{t}_{l+1,j}^{-1})^{-1}\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{1\le i\le d_l}(1-\mathbf{u}^{\delta_{1,l}}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{t}_{l-1}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}^{-1})^{-1}\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{1\le i\le d_l}(1-\mathbf{t}_l^{-1}\mathsf{t}_{l,i})^{-1}.$$

The space of equations cutting out $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}\subset M_{\underline{d}}^\Gamma$ has a natural base consisting of the matrix elements $(E_l^{(ij)})_{1\le i\le d_l}^{1\le j\le d_{l+1}}$ of the matrices $A_{l+1}B_l-B_lA_l+p_{l+1}q_l$. The eigenvalue of the $\mathbf{T}\times\mathsf{T}$-action on $E_l^{(ij)}$ is $\mathbf{u}^{\delta_{0,l}}\mathbf{v}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}\mathsf{t}_{l+1,j}^{-1}$. The (graded) character of the $\mathbf{T}\times\mathsf{T}$-action on the external algebra generated by $\{(E_l^{(ij)})_{1\le i\le d_l}^{1\le j\le d_{l+1}}\}$ equals $\Lambda_{\underline{d}}:=\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}^{\substack{1\le i\le d_l\\ 1\le j\le d_{l+1}}}(1-\mathbf{u}^{\delta_{0,l}}\mathbf{v}\mathsf{t}_{l,i}\mathsf{t}_{l+1,j}^{-1})$. According to Corollary 2.12, the character of the $\mathbf{T}\times\mathsf{T}$-action on $\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}]$ equals $S_{\underline{d}}\Lambda_{\underline{d}}$. Finally, the character $F_{\underline{d}}$ of the $\mathbf{T}$-action on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]=\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}]^{G_{\underline{d}}}$ equals $(1,S_{\underline{d}}\Lambda_{\underline{d}})_{\mathsf{T}}$ where $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathsf{T}}$ is the scalar product of $G_{\underline{d}}$-characters.

3. Hamiltonian reduction

3.1. **Poisson structure on Laumon and Drinfeld spaces.** Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\circ\subset\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ stands for the open subset of locally free parabolic sheaves. According to section 5 of [10], $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\circ$ is the moduli space of based maps of degree $\underline{d}$ from $(\mathbf{C},\infty_{\mathbf{C}})$ to the Kashiwara flag scheme of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$. According to section 1 of [5], such a moduli space of based maps is defined for any Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; let us denote it by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g},\underline{d}}^\circ$. In case $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra, a symplectic structure on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g},\underline{d}}^\circ$ was constructed in [11]. This construction applies *verbatim* to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{g},\underline{d}}^\circ$ for any Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, in particular for $\mathfrak{g}=\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$, and provides $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\circ=\mathcal{P}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n),\underline{d}}^\circ$ with a symplectic structure Ω, and corresponding Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$. F. Bottacin [2] has generalized this Poisson bracket to the moduli spaces of stable parabolic locally free sheaves on arbitrary smooth projective surfaces.

Lemma 3.2. *The Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\circ$ extends uniquely to the same named Poisson structure on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$.*

Proof. The complement $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}-\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\circ$ is a union of Cartier divisors (see e.g. section 11 of [5]). In the étale (x,y)-coordinates of section 3.3 of [11], these divisors are just the zero divisors of y-coordinates. Now the explicit formula of Proposition 2 of *loc. cit.* shows that our bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ extends regularly through the generic points of these divisors. Since $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$ is smooth, and the bivector field $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ is regular off codimension 2, it is regular everywhere. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *The Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\circ\subset\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ extends uniquely to the same named Poisson structure on $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$.*

Proof. The (reduced) fibers of the resolution $\pi:\ \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}\to\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ were already identified with the (reduced) fibers of the resolution $\varpi:\ \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}\to Z^{\underline{d}}$ in 2.15. The latter fibers are described in section 6 of [10], in particular they are connected. Due to normality of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$, the algebra of functions $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ coincides with the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}]$. So the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ is obtained just as global sections of the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. $\square$

3.4. **Separating variables.** The Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ on $\mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}}$ acquires a very simple form in the étale (x,y)-coordinates of section 3.3 of [11]. We recall these coordinates in the quiver description of 2.3. We consider an open subset $U \subset \mathcal{P}^\circ_{\underline{d}} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ formed by the classes of (stable and costable) quadruples $(A_l, B_l, p_l, q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ such that all the endomorphisms A_l have simple and disjoint spectra. We order their eigenvalues some way, and denote them by $(x_{l1},\ldots,x_{l,d_l})_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. Furthermore, following Example 2.8.1, for $r\in\mathbb{N}$ we denote by $b_{l,r}$ the composition $q_l \circ A_l^r \circ p_l$. Moreover, for $j\in\mathbb{N}$, we denote by σ_j the j-th elementary symmetric function (in particular, $\sigma_0 = 1$). Finally, for $1\le r\le d_l$ we define $y_{l,r} := \sum_{s=0}^{d_l-1}(-1)^s b_{l,d_l-1-s}\sigma_s(x_{l1},\ldots,x_{l,r-1},x_{l,r+1},\ldots,x_{l,d_l})$.

Proposition 3.5. *$\{x_{l,r},x_{k,s}\}_K = 0 = \{y_{l,r},y_{l,s}\}_K$; $\{x_{l,r},y_{k,s}\}_K = \delta_{lk}\delta_{rs}y_{k,s}$; $\{y_{l,r},y_{k,s}\}_K = c_{lk}\frac{y_{l,r}y_{k,s}}{x_{l,r}-x_{k,s}}$ for $k\ne l$, where $(c_{lk})_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ stands for the Cartan matrix of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$.*

Proof. We only have to check that our coordinates $x_{l,r}, y_{k,s}$ coincide with what is denoted by x_l^r, y_k^s in [11], and then to apply Proposition 2 of *loc. cit.* (whose proof applies *verbatim* to the case $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$). The matching of $x_{l,r}, y_{k,s}$ with x_l^r, y_k^s clearly reduces to the case of SL(2) of Example 2.8.1. So to simplify the notations, we denote d_l by d, and A_l by A, and $x_{l,r}$ by x_r, and $y_{l,r}$ by y_r, and $b_{l,s}$ by b_s. Note that the coordinates a_m of 2.8.1 are just $a_m = x_1^m+\ldots+x_d^m$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{Z}_d = Z^d$ naturally identifies with the space of pairs $\{(P(z),Q(z))\}$ of polynomials in z such that $\deg(P(z)) = d$, and the leading coefficient of $P(z)$ is 1, and $\deg(Q(z)) < d$ (see section 1.2 of [11]). The coordinates x^r, $1\le r\le d$, of *loc. cit.* are just the roots of $P(z)$, while $y^r = Q(x^r)$. Evidently, $P(z)$ is nothing else than the characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism A, so we can identify $x^r = x_r$. Let us redenote y^s by y'_s to avoid a confusion of upper indices with powers. Then it remains to prove that $y'_s = c_s y_s$ for some constant c_s.

Note that $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_d]$ is bigraded so that $\deg(a_r) = (0,r)$, $\deg(b_s) = (1,s)$. This grading arises from the action of $\mathbb{C}^*\times\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathfrak{Z}_d = Z^d$. From the point of view of Zastava Z^d, the first copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$ is acting on $\mathbf{C}$ by "loop rotations", while the second copy of $\mathbb{C}^*$ is acting as the Cartan torus in SL(2) (corresponding to the decomposition $W = \langle w_1\rangle\oplus\langle w_2\rangle$). Thus, if we write $P(z) = z^d + e_1 z^{d-1}+\ldots+e_d$, $Q(z) = f_0 z^{d-1}+\ldots+f_{d-1}$, then e_r has bidegree $(0,r)$, while f_s has bidegree $(1,s)$. Hence, up to a multiplicative constant, we have $f_s = b_s + \sum_{1\le r\le s}\phi_{s,r}b_{s-r}$ where $\phi_{s,r}$ is a symmetric degree r polynomial in $x_1,\ldots,x_d$.

Lemma 3.6. *Up to a multiplicative constant, we have*
a)$y'_s = y_s$; *b)*$f_s = b_s + \sum_{1\le r\le s} e_r b_{s-r}$; *c)*$\frac{Q(z)}{P(z)} = \sum_{r=0}^\infty b_r z^{-1-r}$.

Proof. b) and c) are clearly equivalent. Moreover, a) is equivalent to b), i.e. to $\phi_{s,r} = e_r$. In effect, the equality $y'_s = y_s$ is equivalent by Lagrange interpolation to

$$Q(z) = \sum_{1\le r\le d} y_r \prod_{m\ne r}(z-x_m)(x_r-x_m)^{-1} =$$

$$= \sum_{1\le r\le d}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(-1)^s b_{d-1-s}\sigma_s(x_1,\ldots,x_{r-1},x_{r+1},\ldots,x_d)\right)\prod_{m\ne r}(z-x_m)(x_r-x_m)^{-1},$$

and hence $f_s = b_s + \sum_{1\le r\le s} e_r b_{s-r}$.

To prove a), by unique factorization in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_d,b_0,\ldots,b_{d-1}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_d,f_0,\ldots,f_{d-1}]$, it suffices to see that $y_1\ldots y_d = c y'_1\ldots y'_d$ for some constant c, that is

$$\prod_{1\le r\le d}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(-1)^s b_{d-1-s}\sigma_s(x_1,\ldots,x_{r-1},x_{r+1},\ldots,x_d)\right) = c\prod_{1\le r\le d}\left(\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} f_s x_r^{d-1-s}\right).$$

Now $y'_1 \dots y'_d$ is an equation (resultant of $P(z), Q(z)$) of the boundary divisor $BZ^d := Z^d - \mathcal{P}^\circ_d$ (defined uniquely up to a multiplicative constant). It remains to prove that $y_1 \dots y_d$ is also an equation of $BZ^d \subset Z^d$.

To this end, note that $Z^d = \mathfrak{Z}_d = \mu^{-1}(0)^s/GL(d)$ where $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ stands for the open subset formed by all the stable triples (A, p, q), i.e. such that V has no proper A-invariant subspaces containing $\mathrm{Im}(p)$. The preimage of BZ^d in $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ consists of stable but noncostable triples (A, p, q), i.e. such that V has an A-invariant vector v contained in $\mathrm{Ker}(q)$. In case A has a simple spectrum $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$, the vector v can only be one of $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$. We have $v = v_m$ if and only if the vector ${}^t(b_0, \dots, b_{d-1})$ lies in the span of the vectors ${}^t(1, x_r, \dots, x_r^{d-1})_{r \neq m}$, i.e.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & b_0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{m-1} & x_{m+1} & \dots & x_d & b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_1^{d-1} & x_2^{d-1} & \dots & x_{m-1}^{d-1} & x_{m+1}^{d-1} & \dots & x_d^{d-1} & b_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

This determinant is obviously divisible by the Vandermonde determinant in the variables $(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_d)$, and the ratio is equal to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{d-1} (-1)^s b_{d-1-s} \sigma_s(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_d) = y_m.$$

We conclude that $y_1 \dots y_d$ is an equation of $BZ^d \subset Z^d$. The lemma is proved along with Proposition 3.5. □

3.7. **Classical Hamiltonian reduction. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case.** Let $V = \mathbb{C}^d$ be a finite-dimensional vector space. Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} := (\mathfrak{gl}(V) \ltimes V) \oplus (\mathfrak{gl}(V) \ltimes V^*)$ (the semidirect product is with respect to the tautological action of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ on V and V^*). Let $\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}$ be the diagonal $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ inside $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V) \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and $\pi : \mathfrak{a}^* \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)^*_{\mathrm{diag}}$ be the projection.

The Drinfeld Zastava space $\mathfrak{Z}_d$ is the categorical quotient $(\mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus V \oplus V^*)/GL(V)$ and hence can be identified with the Hamiltonian reduction $\mathfrak{a}^*//GL(V)_{\mathrm{diag}} = \pi^{-1}(0)/GL(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}$. This provides a natural Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on $\mathfrak{Z}_d$.

Let us write this explicitly. Let $e_{ij}, e'_{ij}, q_i, p_i$, where $1 \le i, j \le d$, be the basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} e_{il} - \delta_{il} e_{jk}, \quad [e'_{ij}, e'_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} e'_{il} - \delta_{il} e'_{jk}, \tag{1}$$

$$[e_{ij}, e'_{kl}] = [e_{ij}, p_k] = [e'_{ij}, q_k] = [p_k, q_l] = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$[e_{ij}, q_k] = \delta_{jk} q_i, \quad [e'_{ij}, p_k] = -\delta_{ki} p_j. \tag{3}$$

I.e. e_{ij} (resp. e'_{ij}) is the standard basis of the first copy of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ (resp. second copy of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$) and q_i, p_i are the bases of V and V^*, respectively.

The coordinate ring of the Hamiltonian reduction $\mathfrak{Z}_d = \mathfrak{a}^*//\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}$ is

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_d] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*//\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}] = \left(\mathbb{C}[e_{ij}, e'_{ij}, q_i, p_i]/(e_{ij} + e'_{ij})\right)^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}} = \mathbb{C}[e_{ij}, q_i, p_i]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}.$$

Remark 3.8. *One can also treat* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*//\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}]$ *as* $\mathbb{C}[e'_{ij}, q_i, p_i]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}$.

3.9. **Calculation of Poisson brackets.** According to the classical invariant theory, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_d]=\mathbb{C}[e_{ij},q_i,p_i]^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}$ is generated by the following polynomial invariants

$$a_r:=\operatorname{Tr}A^r=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_r}e_{i_1i_2}e_{i_2i_3}\dots e_{i_ri_1},\ r=1,\dots,d;$$

$$b_s:=\langle p,A^sq\rangle=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{s+1}}p_{i_1}e_{i_1i_2}e_{i_2i_3}\dots e_{i_si_{s+1}}q_{i_{s+1}},\ s=0,\dots,d-1.$$

Introduce the following notation:

$$e^{(r)}_{ij}:=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{r-1}}e_{ii_1}e_{i_1i_2}e_{i_2i_3}\dots e_{i_{r-1}j}.$$

We also set $e^{(0)}_{ij}=\delta_{ij}$.

We will use the following relations:

Lemma 3.10.

$$\sum_i e^{(r)}_{ki}e^{(s)}_{ij}=e^{(r+s)}_{kj};\tag{4}$$

$$\{e_{kl},e^{(r)}_{ij}\}=\delta_{il}e^{(r)}_{kj}-\delta_{kj}e^{(r)}_{il};\tag{5}$$

$$\{e_{kl},\sum_{j=1}^d e^{(r)}_{ij}q_j\}=\delta_{il}\sum_{j=1}^d e^{(r)}_{kj}q_j;\tag{6}$$

$$\{e^{(s)}_{kl},\sum_{j=1}^d e^{(r)}_{ij}q_j\}=\sum_{t=1}^s\sum_{j=1}^d e^{(r+t-1)}_{kj}q_je^{(s-t)}_{il}.\tag{7}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.11.

$$\{a_r,a_s\}=0;\tag{8}$$

$$\{a_r,b_s\}=rb_{r+s-1};\tag{9}$$

$$\{b_r,b_s\}=\sum_{m=s}^{r-1}b_mb_{r+s-m-1}.\tag{10}$$

Proof. The first is obvious. Let us prove the second one:

$$\{a_r,b_s\}=\{\sum_k e^{(r)}_{kk},\sum_{ij}p_ie^{(s)}_{ij}q_j\}=\tag{11}$$

$$=\sum_{t=1}^r\sum_{k,i,j}p_ie^{(t+s-1)}_{kj}q_je^{(r-t)}_{ik}=r\sum_{ij}p_ie^{(r+s-1)}_{ij}q_j=rb_{r+s-1}.$$

And the third one:

$$\{b_r,b_s\}=\{\sum_{k,l}p_ke^{(r)}_{kl}q_l,\sum_{i,j}p_ie^{(s)}_{ij}q_j\}= \tag{12}$$
$$=\sum_{t=1}^{r}\sum_{k,l,i,j}p_kp_ie^{(t+s-1)}_{kj}q_je^{(r-t)}_{il}q_l-\sum_{m=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,i,j}p_kp_ie^{(m)}_{il}q_le^{(r+s-m-1)}_{kj}q_j=$$
$$=\sum_{m=s}^{r-1}b_mb_{r+s-m-1}.$$

□

Let $x_1,\ldots,x_d$ and $y_1,\ldots,y_d$ be the following étale coordinates on $\mathfrak{Z}_d$:

$$a_r=\sum_{i=1}^{d}x_i^r,\quad y_i=\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}(-1)^r\sigma_r(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,x_d)b_{d-1-r}, \tag{13}$$

where σ_r stands for the elementary symmetric function of degree r.

Proposition 3.12. *We have $\{x_i,x_j\}=0=\{y_i,y_j\}$ and $\{x_i,y_j\}=\delta_{ij}y_j$.*

Proof. $\{x_i,x_j\}=0$ is obvious.

Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ generated by e_{ii} with $i=1,\ldots,d$. Note that each $GL(V)$-invariant function on $\mathfrak{gl}(V)\oplus V\oplus V^*$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to the $\mathfrak{t}$-invariant subspace $S:=\mathfrak{t}\oplus V\oplus V^*$. We have

$$y_j|_S=\prod_{k\neq j}(x_j-x_k)p_jq_j.$$

Hence

$$\{x_i,y_j\}|_S=\mathrm{ad}(dx_i)(y_j)|_S=\mathrm{ad}(e_{ii})(y_j|_S)=\delta_{ij}y_j|_S.$$

This implies $\{x_i,y_j\}=\delta_{ij}y_j$.

We have

$$\{y_i,y_j\}= \tag{14}$$
$$=\{\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}(-1)^r\sigma_r(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,x_d)b_{d-1-r},\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}(-1)^r\sigma_r(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_j},\ldots,x_d)b_{d-1-r}\}=$$
$$=(\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}(-1)^r\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\sigma_r(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,x_d)b_{d-1-r})y_j-$$
$$-(\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}(-1)^r\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\sigma_r(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_j},\ldots,x_d)b_{d-1-r})y_i+$$
$$+\sum_{r=0}^{d-1}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{r+s}\sigma_r(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_i},\ldots,x_d)\sigma_s(x_1,\ldots,\widehat{x_j},\ldots,x_d)\{b_{d-1-r},b_{d-1-s}\}.$$

Set $\sigma_r := \sigma_r(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},\widehat{x_j},\dots,x_d)$. Applying the equation $\sigma_r(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},\dots,x_d) = \sigma_r + x_j\sigma_{r-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(15)\quad \{y_i,y_j\} = {} & \sum_{r=0}^{d-1}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{r+s}\sigma_{r-1}(\sigma_s + x_i\sigma_{s-1})b_{d-1-r}b_{d-1-s} - \\
& - \sum_{r=0}^{d-1}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{r+s}\sigma_{r-1}(\sigma_s + x_j\sigma_{s-1})b_{d-1-r}b_{d-1-s} + \\
& + \sum_{r=0}^{d-1}\sum_{s=0}^{d-1}(-1)^{r+s}(\sigma_r + x_j\sigma_{r-1})(\sigma_s + x_i\sigma_{s-1})\{b_{d-1-r},b_{d-1-s}\} = \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{d-2}\sum_{s=0}^{d-2}(-1)^{r+s}(x_i - x_j)\sigma_r\sigma_s b_{d-2-r}b_{d-2-s} - \\
- \sum_{r=0}^{d-1}\sum_{s=r}^{d-1}(-1)^{r+s}((\sigma_r + x_i\sigma_{r-1})(\sigma_s + x_j\sigma_{s-1}) & - (\sigma_r + x_j\sigma_{r-1})(\sigma_s + x_i\sigma_{s-1}))\{b_{d-1-r},b_{d-1-s}\} = \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{d-2}\sum_{s=0}^{d-2}(-1)^{r+s}(x_i - x_j)\sigma_r\sigma_s b_{d-2-r}b_{d-2-s} - \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=0}^{d-2}\sum_{s=0}^{d-2}(-1)^{r+s}(x_i - x_j)\sigma_r\sigma_s(\{b_{d-1-r},b_{d-2-s}\} - \{b_{d-2-r},b_{d-1-s}\}) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Corollary 3.13. $\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ *on* $\mathfrak{Z}_d$.

3.14. **Classical Hamiltonian reduction. General case.** We fix an n-tuple $\underline{d} = (d_1,\dots,d_n)$ of nonnegative integers. Let $V_{\underline{d}} = \bigoplus_{l=1,\dots,n} V_l = \bigoplus_{l=1,\dots,n} \mathbb{C}^{d_l}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}}) := \bigoplus_{l=1,\dots,n} \mathfrak{gl}_{d_l}$.

To present Zastava spaces as Hamiltonian reduction, we "triangulate" the chainsaw quiver in the following way:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_{l-1} \arrow[loop above, "A_{l-1}"] \arrow[rr, "q_{l-1}"'] \arrow[dr, "B_{l-1}"] & & W_{l-1} \arrow[dl, "p_l"'] & & W_l \arrow[dr, "p_{l+1}"'] & & W_{l+1} \arrow[rr, "p_{l+2}"'] & & V_{l+2} \arrow[loop above, "A'_{l+2}"] \\
& V_l \arrow[loop below, "A'_l"] & & V_l \arrow[loop below, "A_l"] \arrow[ur, "q_l"] \arrow[rr, "B_l"] & & V_{l+1} \arrow[loop below, "A'_{l+1}"] & & V_{l+1} \arrow[loop below, "A_{l+1}"] \arrow[ul, "q_{l+1}"] \arrow[ur, "B_{l+1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

For a pair of vector spaces V_l, V_{l+1} define the following 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{n}(V_l,V_{l+1}) := V_l \oplus V_{l+1}^* \oplus (V_l \otimes V_{l+1}^*),$$

where the space $V_l \otimes V_{l+1}^*$ is central, $[V_l,V_l] = [V_{l+1}^*,V_{l+1}^*] = 0$, and for $v \in V_l,\ w^\vee \in V_{l+1}^*$ one has $[v,w^\vee] = v \otimes w^\vee$.

To define the Poisson structure, we attach to each triangle of our graph the following Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}_l := (\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)\oplus\mathfrak{gl}(V_{l+1}))\rtimes\mathfrak{n}(V_l,V_{l+1})$$

(the semidirect sum is with respect to the tautological action of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)$ on V_l and $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{l+1})$ on V_{l+1}^*).

Consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}} := \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{a}_l = \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)\oplus\mathfrak{gl}(V_{l+1}))\rtimes\mathfrak{n}(V_l,V_{l+1})$$

The coadjoint representation of $\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}$ is the space $\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}^*=\{(A_l,A_l',B_l,p_l,q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\}$, where

$$A_l\in\operatorname{End}(V_l),\quad A_l'\in\operatorname{End}(V_l),\quad B_l\in\operatorname{Hom}(V_l,V_{l+1}),\quad p_l\in V_l,\quad q_l\in V_l^*.$$

Let $\{e_{l,ij},e_{l,ij}'\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ 1\le i,j\le d_l}$ be the coordinates on the 2 copies of $\operatorname{End}(V_l)$, $\{f_{l,ij}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ 1\le i\le d_l,\ 1\le j\le d_{l+1}}$ be the coordinates on $\operatorname{Hom}(V_l,V_{l+1})$, $\{p_{l,i}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ 1\le i\le d_l}$ be the coordinates on V_l, $\{q_{l,i}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ 1\le i\le d_l}$ be the coordinates on V_l^*. Then the Lie–Poisson bracket on $\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}^*$ reads

(16)
$$[e_{l,i_1j_1},e_{k,i_2j_2}]=\delta_{kl}(\delta_{i_2j_1}e_{l,i_1j_2}-\delta_{i_1j_2}e_{l,i_2j_1}),\quad [e_{l,i_1j_1}',e_{k,i_2j_2}']=\delta_{kl}(\delta_{i_2j_1}e_{l,i_1j_2}'-\delta_{i_1j_2}e_{l,i_2j_1}'),$$

$$[e_{l,i_1j_1},e_{k,i_2j_2}']=[e_{l,ij},p_{k,m}]=[e_{l,ij}',q_{k,m}]=0, \tag{17}$$

$$[e_{l,ij},q_{k,m}]=\delta_{lk}\delta_{jm}q_{k,i},\quad [e_{l,ij}',p_{k,m}]=-\delta_{lk}\delta_{mi}p_{k,j}. \tag{18}$$

$$[q_{k,i},p_{l,j}]=\delta_{l,k+1}f_{k,ij}. \tag{19}$$

Consider the subvariety $S_{\underline{d}}\subset\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}^*$ defined by the following equations:

$$B_lA_l+A_{l+1}'B_l+p_{l+1}q_l=0,\quad l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}. \tag{20}$$

Proposition 3.15. *$S_{\underline{d}}$ is $\operatorname{ad}^*(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$-invariant (equivalently, the ideal generated by (20) is a Poisson ideal).*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Let $\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)_{\mathrm{diag}}$ be the diagonal $\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)$ inside $\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)\oplus\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)\subset\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}$ and $\pi:\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}^*\to\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)_{\mathrm{diag}}^*$ be the projection. Then the Drinfeld Zastava space $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is identified with the Hamiltonian reduction $S_{\underline{d}}//\bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{gl}(V_l)_{\mathrm{diag}}=\pi^{-1}(0)/GL(V_l)_{\mathrm{diag}}$. This provides a natural Poisson bracket on $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$.

We consider the following polynomial invariants

$$a_{l,r}:=\operatorname{Tr}A_l^r=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_r}e_{l,i_1i_2}e_{l,i_2i_3}\dots e_{l,i_ri_1},\ r=1,\dots,d_l,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z};$$

$$b_{l,s}:=\langle q_l,A_l^sp_l\rangle=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{s+1}}p_{l,i_1}e_{l,i_1i_2}e_{l,i_2i_3}\dots e_{l,i_si_{s+1}}q_{l,i_{s+1}},\ s=0,\dots,d_l-1,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We also introduce the following elements:

$$b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}:=\langle q_l,A_l^{s_l}\prod_{m=k}^{l-1}B_mA_m^{s_m}p_k\rangle,\quad k\le l,\ s_m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}. \tag{21}$$

Lemma 3.16. *Let* $1 \le k < l+1 \le n-1$. *Then* $\{b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}, b_{l+1,r}\} = b_{k,l+1;s_k,\dots,s_l,r}$.

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.17. *For* $d_0 = 0$ *the coordinate ring of* $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ *is generated (as a Poisson algebra) by* $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$ *with* $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ r = 1,\dots,d_l,\ s = 0,\dots,d_l-1$.

Proof. According to classical invariant theory, the coordinate ring of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is generated by the elements $a_{l,r}$ and $b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}$. Due to the relation (20), one can express $b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}$ via the sum of products of $a_{l,r}$'s, $b_{l,s}$'s and $b_{kl;s_k,0,\dots,0}$'s. Now it remains to note that $b_{kl;s_k,0,\dots,0} = \{\{\dots\{b_{k,s_k} b_{k+1,0}\}\dots, b_{l-1,0}\}, b_{l,0}\}$. □

Remark 3.18. *This is not the case when all of the* d_l*'s are nonzero. There are additional generators of the form* $\mathrm{Tr}(\prod_{m=0}^{l-1} B_m)^r$ *in general.*

Let c_{kl} be the coefficients of the Cartan matrix (i.e. $c_{kk} = 2,\ c_{k,k+1} = c_{k+1,k} = -1,\ c_{kl} = 0$ for $|k-l| > 1$.)

Proposition 3.19. *For* $n \ge 3$ *the following holds:*

$$\{a_{k,r}, a_{l,s}\} = 0; \tag{22}$$

$$\{a_{k,r}, b_{l,s}\} = \delta_{kl} r b_{l,r+s-1}; \tag{23}$$

$$\{b_{k,r+1}, b_{l,s}\} - \{b_{k,r}, b_{l,s+1}\} = c_{kl} b_{k,r} b_{l,s}; \tag{24}$$

$$\{b_{k,r_2}, \{b_{k,r_1}, b_{l,s}\}\} + \{b_{k,r_1}, \{b_{k,r_2}, b_{l,s}\}\} = 0 \quad \text{for } |k-l| = 1. \tag{25}$$

Proof. The first two relations, as well as third one for $k = l$, follow immediately from Proposition 3.11. Let us prove the third relation for $l = k+1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\{b_{k,r+1}, b_{l,s}\} - \{b_{k,r}, b_{l,s+1}\} &= \langle q_l, A_l^s B_k A_k^{r+1} p_k\rangle - \langle q_l, A_l^{s+1} B_k A_k^r p_k\rangle = \\ &= (-1)^s \langle q_l, A_l'^s B_k A_k^{r+1} p_k\rangle - (-1)^{s+1}\langle q_l, A_l'^{s+1} B_k A_k^r p_k\rangle = \\ &= (-1)^{s+1}\langle q_l, A_l'^s p_l q_k A_k^r p_k\rangle = -\langle q_l, A_l^s p_l\rangle\langle q_k, A_k^r p_k\rangle = -b_{k,r} b_{l,s}.\end{aligned}$$

Now let us prove the last relation. Assume that $l - k = 1,\ r_1 \le r_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\{b_{k,r_2}, \{b_{k,r_1}, b_{l,s}\}\} + \{b_{k,r_1}, \{b_{k,r_2}, b_{l,s}\}\} &= \{b_{k,r_2}, b_{kl;r_1,s}\} + \{b_{k,r_1}, b_{kl;r_2,s}\} = \\ &= \sum_{t=r_1}^{r_2-1} b_{k,t} b_{kl;r_1+r_2-t-1,s} - \sum_{t=r_1}^{r_2-1} b_{k,t} b_{kl;r_1+r_2-t-1,s} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $x_{l,i}, y_{l,i}$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ 1 \le i \le d_l$, be the following étale coordinates on $\mathfrak{Z}_d$:

$$a_{l,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_l} x_{l,i}^r, \quad y_{l,i} = \sum_{r=0}^{d_l-1} (-1)^r \sigma_r(x_{l,1},\dots,\widehat{x_{l,i}},\dots,x_{l,d_l}) b_{l,d_l-1-r}, \tag{26}$$

where σ_r stands for the elementary symmetric function of degree r.

Proposition 3.20. *We have*

$$\{x_{k,i}, x_{l,j}\} = 0, \tag{27}$$

$$\{x_{k,i}, y_{l,j}\} = \delta_{kl}\delta_{ij} y_j, \tag{28}$$

$$\{y_{k,i}, y_{l,j}\} = \frac{(2\delta_{kl} - c_{kl}) y_{k,i} y_{l,j}}{x_{k,i} - x_{l,j}}. \tag{29}$$

Proof. The first two relations follow immediately from Proposition 3.12. Let us prove the last one.

Denote by $\mathfrak{t}_{\underline{d}}$ the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})$. Consider the $\mathfrak{t}_{\underline{d}}$-invariant subspace $S := \mathfrak{t}_{\underline{d}}^* \oplus \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{n}(V_l, V_{l+1})^* \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}^*$. Note that each $GL(V_{\underline{d}})$-invariant function on $\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}^*$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to this subspace. We have

$$y_{k,i}|_S = \prod_{m\neq i}(x_{k,i}-x_{k,m})p_{k,i}q_{k,i} \text{ and } dy_{k,i}|_S = \prod_{m\neq i}(x_{k,i}-x_{k,m})p_{k,i}dq_{k,i}+\omega,$$

where ω has the form $\sum_{i,j} F_{ij}(x_{k,m}, p_{k,m}, q_{k,m})de_{ij} + \sum_i F_i(x_{k,m}, p_{k,m}, q_{k,m})dp_{k,i}$. Hence for $l = k+1$ we have (since $\mathrm{ad}(\omega)$ centralizes $y_{l,j}$)

$$\{y_{k,i}, y_{l,j}\}|_S = \mathrm{ad}(dy_{k,i})(y_{l,j})|_S = \prod_{m\neq i}(x_{k,i}-x_{k,m})p_{k,i}\,\mathrm{ad}(q_{k,i})(y_{l,j})|_S =$$
$$= \prod_{m\neq i}(x_{k,i}-x_{k,m})p_{k,i}f_{k,ij}\prod_{m\neq j}(x_{l,j}-x_{l,m})q_{l,j}|_S.$$

According to (20), the latter is

$$\prod_{m\neq i}(x_{k,i}-x_{k,m})p_{k,i}\frac{q_{k,i}p_{l,j}}{x_{k,i}-x_{l,j}}\prod_{m\neq j}(x_{l,j}-x_{l,m})q_{l,j}|_S = \frac{y_{k,i}y_{l,j}}{x_{k,i}-x_{l,j}}|_S.$$

□

Corollary 3.21. *$\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}_K$ on $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$.*

3.22. **Quantum Hamiltonian reduction. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case.** The natural quantization of the coordinate ring of the space $\mathfrak{Z}_d$ is the *quantum Hamiltonian reduction* $\mathfrak{Y}_d := (U(\mathfrak{a})/U(\mathfrak{a})\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}}$.

The algebra $(U(\mathfrak{a})/U(\mathfrak{a})\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)_{\mathrm{diag}}}$ is generated by the following elements:

$$a_r := \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_r} e_{i_1i_2}e_{i_2i_3}\dots e_{i_ri_1},\ r=1,\dots,d;$$

$$b_s := \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{s+1}} p_{i_1}e_{i_1i_2}e_{i_2i_3}\dots e_{i_si_{s+1}}q_{i_{s+1}},\ s=0,\dots,d-1.$$

We also set $a_0 := d$.

Introduce the following notation:

$$e_{ij}^{(r)} := \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{r-1}} e_{ii_1}e_{i_1i_2}e_{i_2i_3}\dots e_{i_{r-1}j}.$$

We also set $e_{ij}^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}$.

We will use the following relations:

Lemma 3.23.

$$\sum_i e_{ki}^{(r)}e_{ij}^{(s)} = e_{kj}^{(r+s)}; \tag{30}$$

$$[e_{kl}, e_{ij}^{(r)}] = \delta_{il}e_{kj}^{(r)} - \delta_{kj}e_{il}^{(r)}; \tag{31}$$

$$[e_{kl},\sum_{j=1}^{d} e^{(r)}_{ij} q_j] = \delta_{il}\sum_{j=1}^{d} e^{(r)}_{kj} q_j; \tag{32}$$

$$[e^{(s)}_{kl},\sum_{j=1}^{d} e^{(r)}_{ij} q_j] = \sum_{t=1}^{s}\sum_{j=1}^{d} e^{(r+t-1)}_{kj} q_j e^{(s-t)}_{il}. \tag{33}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.24.

$$[a_r, a_s] = 0; \tag{34}$$

$$[a_1, b_s] = b_s; \tag{35}$$

$$[a_{r+1}, b_s] - [a_r, b_{s+1}] = b_{r+s} - \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} b_{r+s-t-1} a_t; \tag{36}$$

$$[b_{r+1}, b_s] - [b_r, b_{s+1}] = b_r b_s + b_s b_r. \tag{37}$$

Proof. The first two relations are obvious. Let us prove the third one. We have

$$\begin{aligned}[a_{r+1}, b_s] - [a_r, b_{s+1}] &= [\sum_k e^{(r+1)}_{kk}, \sum_{ij} p_i e^{(s)}_{ij} q_j] - [\sum_k e^{(r)}_{kk}, \sum_{ij} p_i e^{(s+1)}_{ij} q_j] = \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{r+1}\sum_{k,i,j} p_i e^{(t+s-1)}_{kj} q_j e^{(r+1-t)}_{ik} - \sum_{t=1}^{r}\sum_{k,i,j} p_i e^{(t+s-1)}_{kj} q_j e^{(r-t)}_{ik} = \sum_{k,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j e^{(r)}_{ik}.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Now it suffices to check that

$$\sum_{k,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j e^{(r)}_{ik} = b_{r+s} - \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} b_{r+s-t-1} a_t. \tag{39}$$

This is clear for $r = 1$. Assume this for $r = R$ and prove for $r = R + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j e^{(R+1)}_{ik} = \sum_{k,l,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j e^{(R)}_{il} e_{lk} = \\ &= \sum_{k,l,i,j} p_i e_{lk} e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j e^{(R)}_{il} - d\sum_{l,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{lj} q_j e^{(R)}_{il} + d\sum_{k,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j e^{(R)}_{ik} - \sum_{k,l,i,j} p_i e^{(s)}_{kj} q_j \delta_{ik} e^{(R)}_{ll} = \\ &= -b_s a_R + b_{R+s+1} - \sum_{t=0}^{R-1} b_{R+s-t} a_t = b_{R+s+1} - \sum_{t=0}^{R} b_{R+s-t} a_t.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Finally, let us prove the last relation. We will use the following

Lemma 3.25. $\sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{m,j} e^{(s-t-1)}_{ij} q_j e^{(t)}_{lm} q_m = \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{m,j} e^{(t)}_{lm} q_m e^{(s-t-1)}_{ij} q_j.$

Proof. Induction on s.

$$\begin{aligned}(41)\quad &\sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{m,j} e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m = \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{m,j} e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j + \\ &+ \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{u=1}^{s-t-1}\sum_{m,j,k} e_{lm}^{(t)} e_{ik}^{(u-1)} q_k e_{mj}^{(s-t-1-u)} q_j - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{u=1}^{t}\sum_{m,j,k} e_{lk}^{(u-1)} e_{kj}^{(s-t-1)} q_j e_{im}^{(s-t-1-u)} q_m = \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{m,j} e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j + \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{u=1}^{s-t-1}\sum_{m,j,k} e_{lm}^{(t)} (e_{ik}^{(u-1)} q_k e_{mj}^{(s-t-1-u)} q_j - e_{mj}^{(s-t-1-u)} q_j e_{ik}^{(u-1)} q_k) = \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{m,j} e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready to check the relation on b_r:

$$\begin{aligned}(42)\quad &[b_{r+1}, b_s] - [b_r, b_{s+1}] = [\sum_{k,l} p_k e_{kl}^{(r+1)} q_l, \sum_{i,j} p_i e_{ij}^{(s)} q_j] - [\sum_{k,l} p_k e_{kl}^{(r)} q_l, \sum_{i,j} p_i e_{ij}^{(s+1)} q_j] = \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{r+1}\sum_{k,l,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kj}^{(t+s-1)} q_j e_{il}^{(r+1-t)} q_l - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r+1)} e_{im}^{(t)} q_m e_{lj}^{(s-t-1)} q_j - \\ &- \sum_{t=1}^{r}\sum_{k,l,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kj}^{(t+s-1)} q_j e_{il}^{(r-t)} q_l + \sum_{t=0}^{s}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{im}^{(t)} q_m e_{lj}^{(s-t)} q_j = \\ &= \sum_{k,l,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kj}^{(s)} q_j e_{il}^{(r)} q_l - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r+1)} e_{im}^{(t)} q_m e_{lj}^{(s-t-1)} q_j + \sum_{t=0}^{s}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{im}^{(t)} q_m e_{lj}^{(s-t)} q_j = \\ &= b_s b_r - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r+1)} e_{im}^{(t)} q_m e_{lj}^{(s-t-1)} q_j + \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r+1)} e_{im}^{(t)} q_m e_{lj}^{(s-t-1)} q_j + \\ &+ \sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{im}^{(s)} q_m \delta_{lj} q_j - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j = \\ &= b_s b_r + \sum_{k,l,m,i} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{im}^{(s)} q_m q_l - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j = \\ &= b_s b_r + b_r b_s + \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m - \sum_{t=0}^{s-1}\sum_{k,l,m,i,j} p_k p_i e_{kl}^{(r)} e_{lm}^{(t)} q_m e_{ij}^{(s-t-1)} q_j .\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.25, the latter is $b_s b_r + b_r b_s$.

□

3.26. **Quantum Hamiltonian reduction. General case.** Consider the subspace R in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$ consisting of the quadratic elements

$$\sum_{m=1}^{d_l} e_{l,mj}f_{l,im}+\sum_{m=1}^{d_{l+1}} f_{l,mj}e'_{l+1,im}+\frac{1}{2}(p_{l+1,i}q_{l,j}+q_{l,j}p_{l+1,i}), \quad l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,\dots,d_{l+1},\ j=1,\dots,d_l. \tag{43}$$

Proposition 3.27. *We have $[\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}},R]\subset R$ (equivalently, $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})R$ is a two-sided ideal in $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$).*

Proof. Straightforward. □

The natural quantization of the coordinate ring of the space $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is the *quantum Hamiltonian reduction* $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}} := \big(U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})\big)^{\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}}$. The ring $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ has a natural filtration coming from the PBW filtration on $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$.

Proposition 3.28 (PBW property)**.** *We have* $\mathrm{gr}\ \mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}=\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$.

Proof. Clearly, the graded vector space $\mathrm{gr}\ \mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ is not bigger than $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ (i.e. the dimension of each component of $\mathrm{gr}\ \mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ is not greater than that of the corresponding component of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$). Let us show that $\mathrm{gr}\ \mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ is not smaller than $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$.

Let $\overline{R}=\mathrm{gr}\ R\subset S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$ be the space of quadratic relations (20). This space of quadratic relations together with $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}\subset S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$ defines the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}]=S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$. Since $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}$ is a complete intersection, the Koszul complex $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^\bullet(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$ is a resolution of the $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$-module $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$.

Since $[R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}},R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}]\subset(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}}+\mathbb{C})R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}$, there is a resolution of the left $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$-module $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$, beginning with

$$\begin{aligned}U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^2(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})\to U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^1(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})\to&\\ \to U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\to U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})&\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

which deforms the corresponding segment of the Koszul resolution of $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$. The image of $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^2(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$ in $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^1(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$ is not smaller (as a filtered vector space) than the image of $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^2(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$ in $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})\otimes\Lambda^1(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$, since the differential deforms the Koszul differential. Hence $\mathrm{gr}\ U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(R+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$ is not smaller than $S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/S(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(\overline{R}+\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})$. Since $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}$ is reductive, the same holds for $\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}$-invariants. Hence $\mathrm{gr}\ \mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}=\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$. □

We consider the following elements of $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$:

$$a_{l,r}:=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_r} e_{l,i_1i_2}e_{l,i_2i_3}\dots e_{l,i_ri_1},\ r=1,2,\dots,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z};$$

$$b_{l,s}:=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{s+1}} p_{l,i_1}e_{l,i_1i_2}e_{l,i_2i_3}\dots e_{l,i_si_{s+1}}q_{l,i_{s+1}},\ s=0,1,\dots,\ l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 3.29. *For $d_0=0$ the algebra $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ is generated by $a_{l,r},b_{l,s}$ with $l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ r=1,\dots,d_l,\ s=0,\dots,d_l-1$.*

Proof. This follows from Propositions 3.17 and 3.28. □

Introduce the following generating series

$$a_l(u):=1-d_lu^{-1}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}a_{l,r}u^{-r-1},\quad b_l(u):=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}b_{l,s}u^{-s-1} \tag{44}$$

We also consider the elements

$$b'_{l,s} := (-1)^s \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{s+1}} p_{l,i_1} e'_{l,i_1 i_2} e'_{l,i_2 i_3} \dots e'_{l,i_s i_{s+1}} q_{l,i_{s+1}},\ s = 0,\dots,d_l - 1,\ l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},$$

and the corresponding generating series $b'_l(u) := \sum\limits_{s=0}^{\infty} b'_{l,s} u^{-s-1}$.

Lemma 3.30. *$b'_l(u) = b_l(u + d_l)$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

We also introduce the following elements:

$$\begin{gathered}(45)\quad b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l} := \\ = \sum_{i^l_1,\dots,i^l_{s_l+1}} \dots \sum_{i^k_1,\dots,i^k_{s_k+1}} p_{l,i^l_1} e_{l,i^l_1 i^l_2} e_{l,i^l_2 i^l_3} \dots e_{l,i^l_{s_l} i^l_{s_l+1}} f_{l-1,i^l_{s_l+1} i^{l-1}_1} e_{l-1,i^{l-1}_1 i^{l-1}_2} \dots f_{k,i^{k+1}_{s_{k+1}+1} i^k_1} e_{k,i^k_1 i^k_2} \dots q_{k,i^k_{s_k+1}}, \\ k \le l,\ s_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}.\end{gathered}$$

Lemma 3.31. *Let $1 \le k < l+1 \le n-1$. Then $[b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}, b_{l+1,r}] = b_{k,l+1;s_k,\dots,s_l,r}$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Proposition 3.32. *For $n \ge 3$ the following holds:*

$$[a_{k,r}, a_{l,s}] = 0; \tag{46}$$

$$[a_{k,1}, b_{l,s}] = \delta_{kl} b_{l,s}; \tag{47}$$

$$[a_{k,r+1}, b_{l,s}] - [a_{k,r}, b_{l,s+1}] = \delta_{kl}\Big(b_{l,r+s} - \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} b_{l,r+s-t-1} a_{k,t}\Big); \tag{48}$$

$$[b_{k,r+1}, b_{l,s}] - [b_{k,r}, b_{l,s+1}] = b_{k,r} b_{l,s} + b_{l,s} b_{k,r} \quad \textit{for } l = k; \tag{49}$$

$$[b_{k,r+1}, b'_{l,s}] - [b_{k,r}, b'_{l,s+1}] = -\frac{1}{2}(b_{k,r} b'_{l,s} + b'_{l,s} b_{k,r}) \quad \textit{for } l = k+1; \tag{50}$$

$$[b_{k,r_2}, [b_{k,r_1}, b_{l,s}]] + [b_{k,r_1}, [b_{k,r_2}, b_{l,s}]] = 0 \quad \textit{for } |k-l| = 1. \tag{51}$$

Proof. The first four relations follow immediately from Proposition 3.24. Assume that $l - k = 1$. Arguing in the same way as in Proposition 3.19, we have $[b_{k,r+1}, b'_{l,s}] - [b_{k,r}, b'_{l,s+1}] = -\frac{1}{2}(b_{k,r} b'_{l,s} + b'_{l,s} b_{k,r})$.

Now let us prove the last relation. Assume that $l - k = 1,\ r_1 \le r_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}[b_{k,r_2}, [b_{k,r_1}, b_{l,s}]] + [b_{k,r_1}, [b_{k,r_2}, b_{l,s}]] &= [b_{k,r_2}, b_{kl;r_1,s}] + [b_{k,r_1}, b_{kl;r_2,s}] = \\ &= \sum_{t=r_1}^{r_2-1} b_{k,t} b_{kl;r_1+r_2-t-1,s} - \sum_{t=r_1}^{r_2-1} b_{k,t} b_{kl;r_1+r_2-t-1,s} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

3.33. **Deformation of affine Zastava spaces.** The affine Zastava space admits the following nontrivial deformation. Fix a character $\underline{\mu} = \sum\limits_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mu_l \operatorname{Tr}_{V_l}$ of the Lie algebra $\bigoplus\limits_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{gl}(V_l)_{\mathrm{diag}}$ and consider the Hamiltonian reduction of $S_{\underline{d}}$ at this character $\mathfrak{Z}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}} := \pi^{-1}(\underline{\mu})/\prod GL(V_l)_{\mathrm{diag}}$.

The following Poisson automorphisms of $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\underline{d}}$ preserve $S_{\underline{d}}$:

$$\varphi_{\underline{\nu},\underline{\nu}'} : A_l \mapsto A_l + \nu_l E,\ A'_l \mapsto A'_l + \nu'_l E,\ B_l \mapsto B_l, p_l \mapsto p_l,\ q_l \mapsto q_l \tag{52}$$

with $\nu_l + \nu'_{l+1} = 0$. We have $\varphi_{\underline{\nu},\underline{\nu}'}(\underline{\mu}) = \sum\limits_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} (\mu_l + d_l(\nu_l - \nu_{l-1})) \operatorname{Tr}_{V_l}$. Hence the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{Z}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}}$ depends only on $|\underline{\mu}| := \sum\limits_{l=1}^{n} \mu_l$.

Remark 3.34. For $n = 1$ this is precisely the Calogero–Moser deformation of the Hilbert scheme.

As in the non-deformed situation, we consider the following polynomial invariants

$$a_{l,r} := \operatorname{Tr}(A_l - \frac{\mu_l}{d_l}E)^r,\ r = 1, \dots, d_l,\ l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z};$$

$$b_{l,s} := \langle q_l, (A_l - \frac{\mu_l}{d_l}E)^s p_l\rangle,\ s = 0, \dots, d_l - 1,\ l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We also introduce the following elements:

$$b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l} := \langle q_l, (A_l - \frac{\mu_l}{d_l}E)^{s_l} \prod_{m=k}^{l-1} B_m(A_m - \frac{\mu_m}{d_m}E)^{s_m} p_k\rangle, \quad k \le l,\ s_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{53}$$

The same can be done on the quantum level. We obtain a quantization of deformed affine Zastava spaces $\mathfrak{Y}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}} := \big(U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})/U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})(R + \mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}} - \underline{\mu}(\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}})\big)^{\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\underline{d}})_{\mathrm{diag}}}$. This algebra also depends only on $|\underline{\mu}|$. The PBW property also holds for $\mathfrak{Y}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}}$: one has $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{Y}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}} = \operatorname{gr}\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$. The proof is the same as for Proposition 3.28.

Proposition 3.35. *For $|\underline{\mu}| \neq 0$, the coordinate ring of $\mathfrak{Z}^{\underline{\mu}}_{\underline{d}}$ is generated (as a Poisson algebra) by $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$ with $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ r = 1, \dots, d_l,\ s = 0, \dots, d_l - 1$.*

Proof. According to classical invariant theory, the coordinate ring of $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$ is generated by the elements $a_{l,r},\ b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}$ and $C_{r;s_0,\dots,s_{rn-1}} := \operatorname{Tr}(\prod\limits_{m=0}^{rn-1} A^{s_m} B_m)$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots$. Due to the relation (20), one can express $b_{kl;s_k,\dots,s_l}$ via the sum of products of $a_{l,r}$'s, $b_{l,s}$'s and $b_{kl;s_k,0,\dots,0}$'s. Analogously, one can express $C_{r;s_0,\dots,s_{rn-1}}$ via the sum of products of $C_{r;s_0,0\dots,0}$, $a_{l,r}$'s, $b_{l,s}$'s and $b_{kl;s_k,0,\dots,0}$'s.

Consider the filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}]$ by the degree in $f_{l,ij}$, the coefficients of the B_l's. With respect to this filtration, we have

$$b_{kl;s_k,0,\dots,0} = (s_k + 1)\{a_{k,s_k+1}, b_{kl;0,0,\dots,0}\} + \text{lower terms}$$

and

$$C_{r;s_0,0\dots,0} = (s_0 + 1)\{a_{0,s_0+1}, C_{r;0,\dots,0}\} + \text{lower terms}.$$

Hence it is sufficient to show that $b_{kl;0,0,\dots,0}$ and $C_{r;0,\dots,0}$ can be expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$.

For $l - k < n - 2$ we have $b_{kl;0,0,\dots,0} = \{\{\dots\{b_{k,0}b_{k+1,0}\} \dots, b_{l-1,0}\}, b_{l,0}\}$.

We have

$$\{b_{0,0}, b_{1,n-1;0,0,\dots,0}\} = b_{0,n-1;0,0,\dots,0} - b_{1,n;0,0,\dots,0}. \tag{54}$$

Hence each linear combination $\sum m_k b_{k,k+n-1;0,0,\dots,0}$ with $\sum m_k = 0$ is expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$.

On the other hand, due to the relation (20) $b_{0,n-1;0,0,\dots,0} - b_{1,n;0,0,\dots,0} = 2b_{0,n-1;0,0,\dots,0} + \sum\limits_{k=2}^{n-1} b_{k,k+n-1;0,0,\dots,0} + |\underline{\mu}|C_{1;0,\dots,0}$. Hence for each k, $b_{k,k+n-1;0,0,\dots,0} + \frac{|\underline{\mu}|}{n}C_{1;0,\dots,0}$ is expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$.

According to the relation (20), we have

$$\{a_{1,2} + a'_{2,2}, b_{0,n-1;0,0,\dots,0} + \frac{|\underline{\mu}|}{n}C_{1;0,\dots,0}\} = 2b_{0,1;0,0}b_{2,n-1;0,0,\dots,0} + 2\frac{|\underline{\mu}|}{n}b_{2,n+1;0,\dots,0}. \tag{55}$$

Hence $b_{k,k+n-1;0,0,\dots,0}$ and $C_{1;0,\dots,0}$ are expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$.

Now let us proceed by induction. Suppose that $b_{k,k+N;0,0,\dots,0}$ and $C_{m;0,\dots,0}$ are expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$ for $N < (r-1)n,\ m < r$. For $(r-1)n - 1 < l - k < rn - 2$ we have $b_{kl;0,0,\dots,0} = \{\{\dots\{b_{k,0}b_{k+1,0}\}\dots, b_{l-1,0}\}, b_{l,l+rn-1,0}\}$. The same arguments as above shows that for each k, $b_{k,k+rn-1;0,0,\dots,0} + \frac{r|\underline{\mu}|}{n}C_{r;0,\dots,0}$ is expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$. According to the relation (20), we have

$$\{a_{1,2} + a'_{2,2}, b_{0,rn-1;0,0,\dots,0} + \frac{r|\underline{\mu}|}{n}C_{r;0,\dots,0}\} = 2r\frac{|\underline{\mu}|}{n}b_{2,n+1;0,\dots,0} + \text{lower terms}. \tag{56}$$

Hence $b_{k,k+rn-1;0,0,\dots,0}$ and $C_{r;0,\dots,0}$ are expressed via $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$. □

Corollary 3.36. *For* $|\underline{\mu}| \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{Y}^{\mu}_{\underline{d}}$ *is generated by* $a_{l,r}, b_{l,s}$ *with* $l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ r = 1, \dots, d_l,\ s = 0, \dots, d_l - 1$.

4. Yangians

4.1. **Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.** Let $(c_{kl})_{1\le k,l\le n-1}$ stand for the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}, \mathbf{h}_{k,r},\ 1 \le k \le n-1,\ r \in \mathbb{N}$, with the following relations (see [15]):

$$[\mathbf{h}_{k,r}, \mathbf{h}_{l,s}] = 0,\ [\mathbf{h}_{k,0}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}] = \pm c_{kl}\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}, \tag{57}$$

$$2[\mathbf{h}_{k,r+1}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}] - 2[\mathbf{h}_{k,r}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s+1}] = \pm c_{kl}(\mathbf{h}_{k,r}\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s} + \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}\mathbf{h}_{k,r}), \tag{58}$$

$$[\mathbf{x}^{+}_{k,r}, \mathbf{x}^{-}_{l,s}] = \delta_{kl}\mathbf{h}_{k,r+s}, \tag{59}$$

$$2[\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r+1}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}] - 2[\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s+1}] = \pm c_{kl}(\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s} + \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}), \tag{60}$$

$$[\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}, [\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,p}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}]] + [\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,p}, [\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}, \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{l,s}]] = 0,\ k = l \pm 1,\ \forall p, r, s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{61}$$

For a formal variable u we introduce the generating series $\mathbf{h}_k(u) := 1 + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{h}_{k,r}\hbar^{-r}u^{-r-1}$; $\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_k(u) := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_{k,r}\hbar^{-r}u^{-r-1}$. We can then rewrite the equations (58,60) in the following form

$$\mathbf{h}_k(u)\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_l(v)\frac{2u - 2v \mp c_{kl}}{2u - 2v \pm c_{kl}} = \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_l(v)\mathbf{h}_k(u) \tag{62}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_k(u)\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_l(v)\frac{2u - 2v \mp c_{kl}}{2u - 2v \pm c_{kl}} = \mathbf{x}^{\pm}_l(v)\mathbf{x}^{\pm}_k(u) \tag{63}$$

We will consider the "Borel subalgebra" $\mathfrak{Y}$ of the Yangian, generated by $\mathbf{x}_k^+(u)$ and $\mathbf{h}_k(u)$. Given a sequence $(d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1})$, we will use a little bit different generators of the Cartan subalgebra of the Yangian,

$$\mathbf{A}_k(u) := u^{d_k} + A_{k,0}u^{d_k-1} + \ldots + A_{k,r}u^{d_k-r-1} + \ldots, \tag{64}$$

obtained as the (unique) solution of the functional equation

$$\mathbf{h}_k(u) = \mathbf{A}_k(u+\frac{1}{2})^{-1}\mathbf{A}_k(u-\frac{1}{2})^{-1}\mathbf{A}_{k-1}(u)\mathbf{A}_{k+1}(u)(u+\frac{1}{2})^{d_k}(u-\frac{1}{2})^{d_k}u^{-d_{k-1}}u^{-d_{k+1}}, \tag{65}$$

where we take $\mathbf{A}_0(u) = \mathbf{A}_n(u) = 1$.

The generators $\mathbf{A}_k(u)$ satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{A}_k(u)\mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\frac{2u-2v+\delta_{kl}}{2u-2v-\delta_{kl}} = \mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\mathbf{A}_k(u). \tag{66}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{A}_k(u)$ and $\mathbf{x}_l^+(u)$ be the Yangian generating series. Then the series*

$$\mathbf{a}_k(u) = \frac{\mathbf{A}_k(u-\frac{1}{2})}{\mathbf{A}_k(u+\frac{1}{2})} = 1 - d_k u^{-1} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{a}_{k,r}u^{-r-1}, \quad \mathbf{x}_l^+(u)$$

satisfies the following commutator relations

$$[\mathbf{a}_k(u), \mathbf{x}_l^+(v)](u-v) = -\frac{\delta_{kl}}{u-v}\mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\mathbf{a}_k(u), \quad [\mathbf{a}_k(u), \mathbf{a}_l(v)] = 0. \tag{67}$$

The series $\mathbf{a}_k(u)$, $\mathbf{x}_l^+(u)$ generate $\mathfrak{Y}$ with the defining relations (67), (60) and (61).

Proof. For $k \neq l$ the relation is obvious, for $k = l$ we have

$$\mathbf{a}_k(u)\mathbf{x}_k^+(v)\frac{u-\frac{1}{2}-v+\frac{1}{2}}{u-\frac{1}{2}-v-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{u+\frac{1}{2}-v-\frac{1}{2}}{u+\frac{1}{2}-v+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{x}_k^+(v)\mathbf{a}_k(u).$$

therefore

$$\mathbf{a}_k(u)\mathbf{x}_k^+(v)\frac{(u-v)^2}{(u-v)^2-1} = \mathbf{x}_k^+(v)\mathbf{a}_k(u).$$

One can inductively express $\mathbf{A}_{k,r}$ via $\mathbf{a}_{k,s}$ with $s \leq r+1$, hence $\mathfrak{Y}$ is generated by $\mathbf{a}_k(u)$ and $\mathbf{x}_l^+(u)$. On the other hand, the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{a}_{k,r}]_{r=1}^{\infty} \cdot \mathfrak{Y}^+$ by the relation (67) is $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{a}_{k,r}]_{r=1}^{\infty} \otimes \mathfrak{Y}^+$ as a filtered vector space. Hence the assertion. □

4.3. **Classical limit of the Yangian.** Introduce a filtration on $\mathfrak{Y}$ by setting $\deg \mathbf{h}_{i,r} = \deg \mathbf{x}_{k,r}^+ = r+1$. Then the associated graded algebra of $\mathfrak{Y}$ with respect to this filtration is a commutative algebra with a Poisson bracket, generated (as a Poisson algebra) by $\mathbf{A}_{i,r}$, $\mathbf{x}_{k,r}^+$, subject to the relations:

$$\{\mathbf{A}_k(u), \mathbf{A}_l(v)\} = 0; \tag{68}$$

$$\{\mathbf{A}_k(u), \mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\} = -\frac{\delta_{kl}}{u-v}\mathbf{A}(u)\mathbf{x}^+(v); \tag{69}$$

$$\{\mathbf{x}_k^+(u), \mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\} = \frac{c_{kl}}{u-v}\mathbf{x}^+(u)\mathbf{x}^+(v); \tag{70}$$

$$\{\mathbf{x}_{k,r}^+, \{\mathbf{x}_{k,p}^+, \mathbf{x}_{l,s}^+\}\} + \{\mathbf{x}_{k,p}^+, \{\mathbf{x}_{k,r}^+, \mathbf{x}_{l,s}^+\}\} = 0, \ k = l \pm 1, \ \forall p, r, s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{71}$$

4.4. **Affine Yangian.** As for the finite case, we will consider the "affine Borel Yangian". This is an associative algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta$ depending on $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, generated by the series

$$\mathbf{x}_k^+(u) :== 1 + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_{k,r} u^{-r-1}, \tag{72}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_k(u) := u^{d_k} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_{k,r} u^{d_k-r-1}, \tag{73}$$

with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ subject to the relations

$$\mathbf{A}_{k+n}(u) = \mathbf{A}_k(u+\beta), \quad \mathbf{x}_{k+n}^+(u) = \mathbf{x}_k^+(u+\beta); \tag{74}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_k^\pm(u)\mathbf{x}_l^\pm(v)\frac{2u-2v\mp c_{kl}}{2u-2v\pm c_{kl}} = \mathbf{x}_l^\pm(v)\mathbf{x}_k^\pm(u), \tag{75}$$

where (c_{kl}) stands for the Cartan matrix of A_∞;

$$\mathbf{A}_k(u)\mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\frac{2u-2v+\delta_{kl}}{2u-2v-\delta_{kl}} = \mathbf{x}_l^+(v)\mathbf{A}_k(u). \tag{76}$$

$$[\mathbf{x}_{k,r}^\pm,[\mathbf{x}_{k,p}^\pm,\mathbf{x}_{l,s}^\pm]] + [\mathbf{x}_{k,p}^\pm,[\mathbf{x}_{k,r}^\pm,\mathbf{x}_{l,s}^\pm]] = 0,\ k = l\pm 1,\ \forall p,r,s \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{77}$$

Remark 4.5. The algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta$ does not depend on $\underline{d}$: one can multiply the generating series $\mathbf{A}_k(u)$ by any Laurent series $F_k(u^{-1})$ with constant coefficients (with the only condition $F_{k+n}(u) = F_k(u+\beta)$), and the relations remain the same.

Remark 4.6. The algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_\beta$ *is not* a Borel subalgebra of the affine Yangian $\widehat{Y}_\beta$ of type $\widehat{A}_{n-1}$ from [14]. It is related to the Langlands dual of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$.

Lemma 4.2 is also true for the affine Yangian, and the proof is the same.

4.7. **Yangian and finite Zastava spaces. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case.**

Proposition 4.8. *The algebra $\mathcal{Y}_d$ is a quotient of the Borel Yangian $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ by the relations $\mathbf{A}_r = 0$ for $r > d$.*

Proof. Consider the following generating series of the quantized coordinate ring $\mathcal{Y}_d$ of the Zastava space

$$b(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b_r u^{-r-1} \text{ and } a(u) = 1 - du^{-1} - \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r u^{-r-1}.$$

According to Proposition 3.24 we have

$$[b(u), b(v)] = \frac{1}{u-v}(b(u)b(v) + b(v)b(u)), \tag{78}$$

$$[a(u), x^+(v)](u-v) = -\frac{1}{u-v}x^+(v)a(u). \tag{79}$$

Hence there is an epimorphism $\varphi_d : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}_d$ sending $\mathbf{a}(u)$ to $a(u)$ and $\mathbf{x}^+(u)$ to $b(u)$. Thus $\mathcal{Y}_d$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{Y}$.

Let

$$D(u) = u^d + D_0 u^{d-1} + D_1 u^{d-2} + \ldots + D_{d-1} \tag{80}$$

be the Capelli determinant of the matrix (e_{ij}) (see [15], (7.5)). According to the Newton identity (see Theorem 7.1.3 of [15]), we have

$$a(u) = \frac{D(-u+d)}{D(-u+d-1)}. \tag{81}$$

This means that $\varphi_d(\mathbf{A}(u)) = D(-u+d-\frac{1}{2})$. In particular, $\varphi_d(\mathbf{A}_r) = 0$ for $r > d$.

To prove that $\mathfrak{Y}_d$ is a quotient of $\mathfrak{Y}$ by the relations $\mathbf{A}_r = 0$ for $r > d$ it suffices to show this in quasiclassical limit. Namely, we have to show that the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_d]$ is a quotient of the classical limit of the Yangian by the Poisson ideal generated by $\mathbf{A}_r$ for $r > d$. The ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{Z}_d]$ is generated by the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A, which are the D_r's, and by the b_r's with the defining relations

$$D_r = 0 \text{ for } r > d$$

and

$$b_s + \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} b_{s-r-1} D_r = 0 \text{ for } s \geq d.$$

We have $\{\mathbf{A}_r, \mathbf{x}^+_{s-d}\} = -\mathbf{x}^+_s + \sum\limits_{r=0}^{d-1} (-1)^r \mathbf{x}^+_{s-r-1} \mathbf{A}_r$, hence the second relation also belongs to the Poisson ideal generated by $\mathbf{A}_r$ for $r > d$. Hence the assertion. □

4.9. **Yangian and finite Zastava spaces. General case.** The quantized coordinate ring of the Zastava space $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ is generated by the coefficients of $a_k(u)$ and $b_l(u)$ (or $b'_l(u) = b_l(u+d_l)$) for $0 < k, l < n$. According to Proposition 3.32 we have

$$[b_k(u), b'_l(v)] = \frac{c_{kl}}{2(u-v)} (b_k(u) b'_l(v) + b'_l(v) b_k(u)), \tag{82}$$

$$[a_k(u), b_l(v)](u-v) = -\frac{\delta_{kl}}{u-v} b_l(v) a_k(u). \tag{83}$$

Proposition 4.10. *The algebra* $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ *is a quotient of the Borel Yangian* $\mathfrak{Y}$ *of* $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ *by some ideal containing* $\mathbf{A}_{k,r} = 0$ *for* $r > d_k$.

Proof. There is a homomorphism $\varphi_{\underline{d}} : \mathfrak{Y} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ sending $\mathbf{a}_k(u - \sum\limits_{m=1}^{k} d_m)$ to $a_k(u)$ and $x^+_l(u - \sum\limits_{m=1}^{l} d_m)$ to $b_l(u)$. The rest of the proof is the same as for the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ case. □

Conjecture 4.11. $\mathfrak{Y}_{\underline{d}} = \mathfrak{Y}/\{\mathbf{A}_{k,r} \mid r > d_k\}$.

4.12. **Affine Yangian and (deformed) affine Zastava.**

Proposition 4.13. *For* $|\underline{\mu}| \neq 0$, *the algebra* $\mathfrak{Y}^{\mu}_{\underline{d}}$ *is a quotient of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_\beta$ *(with* $\beta = \sum\limits_{l=1}^{n} (d_l + \mu_l)$*) by some ideal containing* $\mathbf{A}_{k,r} = 0$ *for* $r > d_k$.

Proof. The same as for Proposition 4.10. □

Conjecture 4.14. *For* $|\underline{\mu}| \neq 0$, *we have* $\mathfrak{Y}^{\mu}_{\underline{d}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{Y}}_\beta/\{\mathbf{A}_{k,r} \mid r > d_k\}$.

5. Speculations on quantization of Laumon spaces in finite characteristic

For finite Laumon spaces $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}},\ d_0=0$, a conjecture about quantum cohomology was formulated in [9] (Conjecture 4.8 and Corollary 4.10), and proved recently by A. Negut. It follows that the monodromy of the quantum connection gives rise to an action of the pure braid group on the cohomology of $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. According to Bridgeland-Bezrukavnikov-Okounkov philosophy, if we transfer this action to the K-theory (via Chern character), then it should come from an action of the pure braid group on the derived coherent category of $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. In this section we discuss various possibilities to construct an action of the pure braid group on the equivariant derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$.

5.1. **Variation of stability conditions.** We consider a vector $\zeta=(\zeta_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ with integral coordinates. Let $\chi:=\prod_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\det_l^{-\zeta_l}$ stand for the corresponding character of $G_{\underline{d}}$. Let $\mathbb{C}[M_{\underline{d}}^\Gamma]^{\chi^r}$ $(r\in\mathbb{N})$ stand for the χ^r-isotypic subspace of $\mathbb{C}[M_{\underline{d}}^\Gamma]$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\zeta$ stand for the projective spectrum of the graded algebra $\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{C}[M_{\underline{d}}^\Gamma]^{\chi^r}$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^{(-1,\ldots,-1)}=\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}$. According to the GIT, $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^\zeta$ is the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of ζ-semistable Q-modules. We recall the required notions following [17].

A module $(V_\bullet,A_\bullet,B_\bullet,p_\bullet,q_\bullet)$ over the the chainsaw quiver is called ζ-semistable if
(a) for subspaces $V'_\bullet\subset V_\bullet$ such that $B_l(V'_l)\subset V'_{l+1}$ and $A_l(V'_l)\subset V'_l$, and $\operatorname{Ker}q_l\supset V'_l$ we have $\langle\zeta,\underline{\dim}V'_\bullet\rangle\leq 0$. Here $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ stands for the standard scalar product, i.e. the sum of products of coordinates.
(b) for subspaces $V'_\bullet\subset V_\bullet$ such that $B_l(V'_l)\subset V'_{l+1}$ and $A_l(V'_l)\subset V'_l$, and $\operatorname{Im}p_l\subset V'_l$ we have $\langle\zeta,\underline{\operatorname{codim}}V'_\bullet\rangle\geq 0$.

We say that a module $(V_\bullet,A_\bullet,B_\bullet,p_\bullet,q_\bullet)$ is ζ-stable if the above inequalities are strict unless $V'_\bullet=0$ in (a), and $V'_\bullet=V_\bullet$ in (b). If ζ and ζ' are proportional, the stability conditions coincide, so in the definition of stability we may take the vectors ζ with rational coordinates.

Let us reformulate these conditions in a slightly different way. We set $\zeta_\infty:=-\langle\zeta,\underline{d}\rangle$, and $\widetilde{\zeta}:=(\zeta,\zeta_\infty)$ (an $n+1$-dimensional vector). Recall that the line W_∞ is also a part of data of a Q-module, and now we allow to vary the dimension of W_∞ (in particular, we allow $W_\infty=0$), i.e. we consider the abelian category of Q-modules. Accordingly, we introduce the enhanced dimension $\widetilde{\underline{d}}=(\underline{d},d_\infty):=(\underline{d},\dim W_\infty)$. In case $W_\infty=0$ we assume $\langle\zeta,\underline{d}\rangle=0$. Given a Q-module Y with $\dim W_\infty\leq 1$, and a Q-submodule $Y'\subset Y$ of enhanced dimension $\widetilde{\underline{d}}'$ (where the last coordinate is either 0 or 1) we define the slope by $\theta_\zeta(Y'):=\frac{\langle\widetilde{\zeta},\widetilde{\underline{d}}'\rangle}{\langle(1,\ldots,1),\widetilde{\underline{d}}'\rangle}$. We say that a Q-module Y is ζ-semistable if for any nonzero Q-submodule $Y'\subset Y$ we have $\theta_\zeta(Y')\leq\theta_\zeta(Y)$. We say that Y is ζ-stable, if the above inequality is strict unless $Y'=Y$. Note that for $\dim W_\infty=1$ the definition of the present paragraph is equivalent to the definition of the previous paragraph.

Finally, we say that two Q-modules are S-equivalent, if their Jordan-Hölder filtrations have the same composition factors.

5.2. **Walls.** Given $l,l'\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, let $[l,l']\subset\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ stand for the interval between l and l' $(l,l'$ included) in the natural cyclic order. Also, given $0<l\leq l'<n$, let $[l,l']$ stand for the set $\{l,l+1,\ldots,l'-1,l'\}$. We say that a hyperplane $H_{l,l'}:=\{\zeta:\ \sum_{k\in[l,l']}\zeta_k=0\}\subset\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ is an *affine wall*; and also a hyperplane $H:=\{\zeta:\ \sum_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}\zeta_l=0\}\subset\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ is an affine wall. In case $d_0=0$, the coordinate ζ_0 is irrelevant, and the space of stability conditions is just $\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$. Given $0<l\leq l'<n$, we say that a hyperplane $H_{l,l'}:=\{\zeta:\ \sum_{k\in[l,l']}\zeta_k=0\}\subset\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ is a *finite wall.*

Proposition 5.3. *(a) If $\zeta\in\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ does not lie on an affine wall, ζ-stability is equivalent to ζ-semistability;*

(b) If $d_0 = 0$, and $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ does not lie on a finite wall, ζ-stability is equivalent to ζ-semistability.

Proof. Given a ζ-semistable Q-module Y we consider its Harder-Narasimhan filtration with ζ-stable factors. If Y is not ζ-stable, there are at least 2 factors, and at least one of them has $d_\infty = 0$. Thus it suffices to check that when ζ does not lie on a wall, then there are no ζ-stable Q-modules with $d_\infty = 0$, i.e. $W_\infty = 0$.

So we suppose Y is a ζ-stable Q-module with $W_\infty = 0$. By a standard argument, Y does not have nonscalar endomorphisms. However, the collection $(A_\bullet)$ is an endomorphism of Y. In effect, since $W_\infty = 0$, we have $p_\bullet = 0 = q_\bullet$, and hence the relation in Q reads $A_{l+1}B_l - B_lA_l = 0$, i.e. the operators $B_\bullet$ intertwine the endomorphisms $A_\bullet$. We conclude that $A_l = c\,\mathrm{Id}_{V_l}$ for some constant c. Subtracting $c\,\mathrm{Id}_{V_l}$ we may and will assume $A_l \equiv 0$, and thus we deal just with a representation of the cyclic quiver. Moreover, the collection $(B_{l-1}B_{l-2}\dots B_{l+1}B_l)$ is an endomorphism of Y, and hence $B_{l-1}B_{l-2}\dots B_{l+1}B_l \equiv c\,\mathrm{Id}_{V_l}$. In case $c \neq 0$, we get $Y \simeq L(0,c)^{\oplus d}$ in notations of 2.9. Being indecomposable, Y is isomorphic to $L(0,c)$. In case $c = 0$, according to the well known classification of nilpotent representations of a cyclic quiver, an indecomposable Y must be of the form $Y_{[l,l']}$. Here $Y_{[l,l']}$ has $V_k = \mathbb{C}$ for $k \in [l,l']$, and $V_k = 0$ otherwise; furthermore, B_k is an isomorphism for $l' \neq k \in [l,l']$, and $B_{l'} = 0$. Finally, if $d_0 = 0$, only $Y_{[l,l']}$ with $0 < l \leq l' < n$ occur.

It remains to classify the stability conditions ζ for which $L(0,c)$ or $Y_{[l,l']}$ are stable. For irreducible $L(0,c)$ any ζ on the wall $H := \{\zeta : \sum_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \zeta_l = 0\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ works, and no other ζ works. For $Y_{[l,l']}$ any submodule is of the form $Y_{[l'',l']}$ for $l \leq l'' \leq l'$ in the cyclic order. It follows that $Y_{[l,l']}$ is ζ-stable iff $\zeta_l + \zeta_{l+1} + \ldots + \zeta_{l'-1} + \zeta_{l'} = 0$, and $\zeta_l + \zeta_{l+1} + \ldots + \zeta_{l''} \geq 0$ for any $l \leq l'' \leq l'$ in the cyclic order. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

5.4. **Smoothness.** For a ζ-stable Q-module Y the stabilizer of Y in $G_{\underline{d}}$ is trivial by the standard argument we have used already: the stable modules do not admit nonscalar endomorphisms. Recall that we have a morphism $\mu:\ M^\Gamma_{\underline{d}} \to \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_{l+1}),\ (A_\bullet, B_\bullet, p_\bullet, q_\bullet) \mapsto (A_{l+1}B_l - B_lA_l + p_{l+1}q_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$, and $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}} = \mu^{-1}(0)$. In the theory of Nakajima quiver varieties, the moduli space of ζ-stable quiver representations is smooth because the differential of the moment map is surjective. In our situation this is no longer true as the following example shows.

We identify the tangent space to the vector space $M^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$ at $Y = (A_\bullet, B_\bullet, p_\bullet, q_\bullet)$ with $M^\Gamma_{\underline{d}}$, we also identify the tangent space to the vector space $\bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_{l+1})$ at $\mu(Y)$ with this vector space, and write down the formula for the differential $d\mu(Y)$ as follows: $d\mu(A'_\bullet, B'_\bullet, p'_\bullet, q'_\bullet) = (A'_{l+1}B_l + A_{l+1}B'_l - B_lA'_l - B'_lA_l + p'_{l+1}q_l + p_{l+1}q'_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. The differential $d\mu(Y)$ is not surjective iff there exists nonzero $(C_l \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_l, V_{l-1}))_{l\in\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ orthogonal to the image of $d\mu$ with respect to the pairing given by the trace of the product. Equivalently, $C_lA_l - A_{l-1}C_l = 0,\ B_{l-1}C_l = C_{l+1}B_l = 0,\ q_{l-1}C_l = 0,\ C_lp_l = 0$ for any l.

Now let us recall the setup of Example 2.8.2 and take the stability condition $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = (-1, 2)$ lying off the walls. We take $A_1 = A_2 = p_1 = q_2 = 1,\ B_1 = p_2 = q_1 = 0$. It is immediate to check that Y is ζ-stable but on the other hand $C_2 = 1$ satisfies the above conditions. Hence the moduli space $\mathcal{P}^{(-1,2)}_{1,1}$ of ζ-stable (equivalently, ζ-semistable) Q-modules is *nonsmooth*. In fact, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{P}^{(-1,2)}_{1,1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}_{1,1}$.

5.5. **Localization in characteristic p.** From now on we assume that the base field is $\mathsf{K} := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, an algebraic closure of a finite field of characteristic $p \gg 0$. We will use the notations and results of section 3 of [6]. For any algebraic variety X over K we denote by $X^{(1)}$ its Frobenius twist, and we denote by $\mathrm{Fr}:\ X \to X^{(1)}$ the Frobenius morphism. For a connected linear algebraic group A over K we have an exact sequence of groups $1 \to A_1 \to A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fr}} A^{(1)} \to 1$ where A_1 stands for the Frobenius kernel. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of A is equipped with a natural structure of p-Lie algebra, and its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a})$ contains the p-center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$. We denote by $\mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{a})$ the lattice of characters of $\mathfrak{a}$ of the type $\chi = \mathrm{dlog}\, f$ where $f:\ A \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is an algebraic character of A. For such a character χ we denote by I_χ the kernel of the corresponding homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{a}) \to \mathsf{K}$. We set $I_\chi^{(1)} := I_\chi \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$, a maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$. Note that $I_\chi^{(1)} = I_0^{(1)}$ (see [6] (3.2.4) and 3.3). We denote by $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{a})$ the quotient of $U(\mathfrak{a})$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $I_\chi^{(1)} = I_0^{(1)}$. The image of I_χ in $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{a})$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{i}_\chi \subset \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Now we take $A = G_{\underline{d}} = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_l)$, and we denote its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_{\underline{d}}$. We have (notations of (43) and (20)) $R \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a}) \simeq \mathsf{K}[S_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}]$. Thus we may localize the $(R \cap \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a}))$-module R to $S_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$ and view it as a sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$. We have the moment map $\mu^{(1)}:\ S_{\underline{d}}^{(1)} \to [\mathfrak{g}_{\underline{d}}^*]^{(1)}$. The quotient $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)} := \mathcal{R}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}/(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)} \cdot I_\chi^{(1)})$ is just the restriction of $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$ to the scheme-theoretic zero-fiber of the moment map (and is independent of $\chi \in \mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\underline{d}})$). This zero-fiber is nothing else than $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$. We consider $\mathcal{E}_\chi := (\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)}/\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)} \cdot \mathfrak{i}_\chi)^{G_{\underline{d},1}}$: a $G_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$-equivariant sheaf on $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$.

We restrict $\mathcal{E}_\chi$ to the open subset $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1),s} \subset \mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$ of stable points. The action of $G_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$ on $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1),s}$ is free; the projection $\mathrm{pr}:\ \mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1),s} \to \mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$ is a $G_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$-torsor. We set

$$\mathcal{A}_\chi := \mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{E}_\chi|_{\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1),s}})^{G_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}}, \text{ and put } \mathsf{A}_\chi := \Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_\chi). \tag{84}$$

Given another character $\psi \in \mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\underline{d}})$ we consider the $G_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$-equivariant sheaf ${}_\chi\mathcal{E}_\psi := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)}}(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)}/\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)} \cdot \mathfrak{i}_\chi, \mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)}/\mathcal{R}_{\underline{d},\chi}^{(1)} \cdot \mathfrak{i}_\psi)$ on $\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}$. We set

$${}_\chi\mathcal{A}_\psi := \mathrm{pr}_*(\ {}_\chi\mathcal{E}_\psi|_{\mathsf{M}_{\underline{d}}^{(1),s}})^{(\psi-\chi)} \tag{85}$$

where the superscipt $(\psi - \chi)$ stands for the $(\psi - \chi)$-weight component. This is an $\mathcal{A}_\chi - \mathcal{A}_\psi$-bimodule.

Conjecture 5.6. *(a) The canonical algebra morphism* $\Xi_\chi:\ \mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}} \to \mathsf{A}_\chi = \Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_\chi)$ *is an algebra isomorphism.*

(b) The algebra $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ *has finite homological dimension.*

(c) The functor of global sections $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}, ?)$ *from the bounded derived category* $D^b(\mathcal{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod})$ *of* $\mathcal{A}_\chi$*-modules to the bounded derived category* $D^b(\mathsf{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod})$ *of* A_χ*-modules is an equivalence of categories for* $\chi = 0$.

(d) The bimodules ${}_\chi\mathcal{E}_\psi$ *give rise to the Morita equivalences* ${}_\chi E_\psi:\ \mathcal{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_\psi - \mathrm{Mod}$.

Let us say that $\chi \in \mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\underline{d}})$ is *regular* if the functor $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}, ?):\ D^b(\mathcal{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod}) \to D^b(\mathsf{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod})$ is an equivalence of categories. Thus for regular χ we get an equivalence of categories $D^b(\mathcal{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$. Composing it with the Morita equivalences ${}_\chi E_\psi$ for other regular characters ψ, we obtain the self-equivalences ${}_\chi\varepsilon_\psi:\ D^b(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$. We conjecture that they generate an action of the pure (affine) braid group on $D^b(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$.

5.7. **Splitting module.** Let us denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}^0$ the "Cartan" subalgebra of $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ generated by $\{a_{l,r},\ l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 0\}$, and let us denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}^+$ the "nilpotent" subalgebra of $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ generated by $\{b_{l,r},\ l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},\ r \geq 0\}$. We define the *$p$-center* $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})$ as the Hamiltonian reduction of the p-center of $U(\mathfrak{a}_{\underline{d}})$ inside $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$. We have $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}) \simeq \mathsf{K}[\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}^{(1)}]$ (it is just the coordinate ring of the Frobenius twist of the classical Hamiltonian reduction $\mathfrak{Z}_{\underline{d}}$). There are also the "Cartan" subalgebra $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0 := \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}) \cap \mathcal{Y}^0_{\underline{d}}$, and the "nilpotent" subalgebra $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^+ := \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}) \cap \mathcal{Y}^+_{\underline{d}}$ inside this p-center. Clearly, $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0 \simeq \mathsf{K}[\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d},(1)}]$ (regular functions on the Frobenius twist of $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d}}$). We denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0$ the completion of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0 \simeq \mathsf{K}[\mathbb{A}^{\underline{d},(1)}]$ at the maximal ideal of the point $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d},(1)}$. We set

$$\widehat{M} := \widehat{\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0 \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0} \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^+} \mathcal{Y}^+_{\underline{d}} \tag{86}$$

and we conjecture that the regular action of the algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{Z}}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0 \otimes \mathcal{Y}^+_{\underline{d}}$ on M extends to the action of $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\underline{d}}$: the completion of $\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}$ at the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}})^0$. Moreover, we conjecture that the action of $\mathbf{T}^{(1)} = T^{(1)} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{(1)} \times \mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}$ on $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}}) \simeq \mathsf{K}[\mathfrak{Z}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}]$ extends to an action of $\mathbf{T}^{(1)}$ (i.e. a grading) on $\widehat{M}$, and on $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\underline{d}}$.

5.8. **Coherent sheaves.** Let $\chi \in \mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\underline{d}})$ be a regular character. We conjecture that the equivalence $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}, ?) :\ D^b(\mathcal{A}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathcal{Y}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$ of Conjecture 5.6 extends to $D^b_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\chi - \mathrm{Mod}$ stands for the category of $\mathcal{A}_\chi$-modules supported set-theoretically over $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d},(1)}$. Thus we obtain the self-equivalences ${}_\chi\varepsilon_\psi :\ D^b_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$. Let us denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\chi$ an $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\chi$-module such that $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}, \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\chi) = \widehat{M}$ (the localization of $\widehat{M}$). We have a tensor product functor

$$\tau :\ \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}) \to \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\chi),\ \mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\chi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}}} \mathcal{F} \tag{87}$$

where $\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}})$ stands for the category of coherent sheaves on $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}$ supported set-theoretically over $0 \in \mathbb{A}^{\underline{d},(1)}$. We conjecture that τ is a full embedding onto the minimal Serre subcategory containing $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\chi$. Moreover, the composition $R\Gamma(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}, ?) \circ \tau$ is a full embedding $\Upsilon :\ D^b\,\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}}) \to D^b_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\underline{d}} - \mathrm{Mod})$. Finally, we expect that the essential image of Υ is independent of the regular character χ and is invariant under the equivalences ${}_\chi\varepsilon_\psi$. All in all we obtain the desired action of the pure braid group on $D^b\,\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbf{T}^{(1)}}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}_{\underline{d}})$ generated by the equivalences ${}_\chi\varepsilon_\psi$.

References

[1] I. Biswas, *Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles*, Duke Math. Jour. **88** (1997), 305–325.

[2] F. Bottacin, *Poisson structures on moduli spaces of parabolic bundles on surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **103** (2000), 31–46.

[3] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, *Finite difference quantum Toda lattice via equivariant K-theory*, Transformation Groups **10** (2005), 363–386.

[4] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, *Pursuing the double affine Grassmannian II: Convolution*, preprint arXiv:0908.3390.

[5] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, D. Gaitsgory, *Uhlenbeck spaces via affine Lie algebras*, Progress in Mathematics **244** (2006), 17–135.

[6] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p*, Representation Theory **10** (2006), 245–298.

[7] R. Bielawski, V. Pidstrigach, *Gelfand-Tsetlin actions and rational maps*, Math. Z. **260** (2008), 779–803.

[8] W. Crawley-Boevey, *Normality of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers*, Math. Ann. **325** (2003), 55–79.

[9] B. Feigin, M. Finkelberg, I. Frenkel, L. Rybnikov, *Gelfand-Tsetlin algebras and cohomology rings of Laumon spaces*, preprint, arXiv math/0806.0072.

[10] M. Finkelberg, D. Gaitsgory, A. Kuznetsov, *Uhlenbeck spaces for $\mathbb{A}^2$ and affine Lie algebra $\widehat{sl}_n$*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **39** (2003), 721–766.

[11] M. Finkelberg, A. Kuznetsov, N. Markarian, I. Mirković, *A note on a symplectic structure on the Space of G-monopoles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **201** (1999), 411–421.

[12] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **24** (1965).

[13] A. Gerasimov, S. Kharchev, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin, *On a class of representations of the Yangian and moduli space of monopoles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **260** (2005), 511–525.

[14] N. Guay, *Affine Yangians and deformed double current algebras in type A*, Advances in Math. **211** (2007), 436–484.

[15] A. I. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **143** AMS, Providence, RI (2007).

[16] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series **18** AMS, Providence, RI (1999).

[17] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and branching*, SIGMA **5** (2009), 003, 37 pages; also arXiv:0809.2605.

[18] A. Negut, *Laumon spaces and many-body systems*, thesis, Princeton University (2008).

[19] S. A. Strømme, *On parametrized rational curves in Grassmann varieties*, Lecture notes in Math. **1266** (1987), 251–272.

[20] R. Travkin, *Mirabolic Robinson-Shensted-Knuth correspondence*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **14** (2009), 727–758.

[21] G. Wilson, *Collisions of Calogero-Moser particles and an Adelic Grassmannian*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 1–41.

Address:
M.F.: IMU, IITP, and State University Higher School of Economics,
Department of Mathematics,
20 Myasnitskaya st, Moscow 101000, Russia
L.R.: IITP, and State University Higher School of Economics,
Department of Mathematics,
20 Myasnitskaya st, Moscow 101000, Russia
E-mail address:
`fnklberg@gmail.com, leo.rybnikov@gmail.com`